Available online at sjuoz.uoz.edu.krd

Science Journal of University of Zakho Vol. 11, No. 1, pp. 91–97, January-March 2023





p-ISSN: 2663-628X e-ISSN: 2663-6298

INCIDENCE OF METHICILLIN-RESISTANT *STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREUS* (MRSA) RECOVERED FROM PATIENTS WITH URINARY TRACT INFECTIONS IN ZAKHO CITY/ KURDISTAN-IRAQ

Iman A. Hami ^{a,*}, Khalid S. Ibrahim^b

^a Department of Medical Laboratory Technology, College of Health and Medical Technology-Shekhan, Duhok Polytechnic University, Kurdistan Region-Iraq; <u>iman.hami@dpu.edu.krd</u>

^b Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences, University of Zakho, Zakho International Road, P.O. Box 12, Kurdistan Region-Iraq: khalid.ibrahim@uoz.edu.krd

Received: 13 Oct., 2022 / Accepted: 12 Nov., 2022 / Published: 30 Jan., 2023 https://doi.org/10.25271/sjuoz.2022.11.1.1041

ABSTRACT

The prevalence of *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*) among patients with urinary tract infections (UTIs) has become a significant cause of morbidity in all age groups. The aim of the current study was to identify *S. aureus* with methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA). Moreover, it aimed to determine their antimicrobial susceptibility patterns and to detect the presence of the *mecA*, *lukPV* and *icaA* genes in isolated S. *aureus* among UTIs patients. A total of 402 urine samples were collected from patients diagnosed with UTIs aged (less than 80 years) at Zakho General Hospital in Zakho City, from August 2021 to March 2022. From these samples, a total of 37 (12.6%) *S. aureus* was identified and molecularly confirmed by the *nuc* gene. A high prevalence of MRSA 28 (75.7%) was identified from these isolates *S. aureus* by Oxacillin sensitive test and confirmed by *mecA* gene. Females were highly infected with UTIs than males, and most cases were in chronic condition and married. In addition, 18 (64.3%) and 21 (75%) of these isolates *S. aureus* were harbored *luk-PV* and *icaA* genes, respectively. There were widespread of antimicrobial resistance patterns for these bacteria. Isolated MRSA were highly sensitive to Imipenem. Interestingly, a significant positive correlation between *mecA* and both virulence marker genes (*luk-PV* and *icaA*) found in MRSA with UTIs. Conclusion, the data demonstrated, for the first time, alarming emergence of multidrug-resistant MRSA isolated from UTIs of community-acquired in Kurdistan.

KEYWORDS: Urinary tract infections, chronic, MRSA, Kurdistan, Iraq.

1. INTRODUCTION

Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are one of the most prevalent infectious diseases observed in both outpatients and hospitalized patients (Hernandez et al., 2021; Morado and Wong, 2022; Petca et al., 2021). They are a major health problem affecting 150 million people globally each year and one of the most common reasons for adults seeking medical advice (Onanuga & Awhowho, 2012; Shigemura et al., 2005). It is common knowledge that Staphylococcus spp., from Gram-positive bacteria, are the second most common bacteria after Escherichia coli which cause UTI among inpatient and outpatients (Balamurugan et al., 2015; Onanuga & Awhowho, 2012). Generally, Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus) is a commensal as well as pathogenic bacterium that it did not only cause a wide range of infections in clinical cases (Baraboutis et al., 2010), but also contributed about 13% to cause series bacteremic UTIs in a large community hospital that predominantly affected older patients (Baraboutis et al., 2010). The thermostable nuclease of S. aureus is encoded by the nuc gene, and the PCR for amplification of this gene has the potential to rapid the diagnosis of S. aureus (Brakstad, et al., 1992). Besides, S. aureus that is resistant to methicillin was first identified in the 1950s, and its prevalence has significantly increased over the past several decades (David & Daum, 2010; Grundmann et al., 2006). Studies reported that MRSA strains are a major problem in hospitals, geriatric nursing homes, and other healthcare (Archer & Pennell, 1990; Grundmann et al., 2006; Unal et al., 1994a). According to WHO (WHO, 2021), 64%

of infected patients with MRSA are more likely to die than those infected with S. aureus, are sensitive to antibiotics (WHO, 2021). In 1990, a study noted that the mecA gene was not found in methicillin-susceptible isolates of staphylococci (Archer & Pennell, 1990; Louie et al., 2000a). However, later a study demonstrated that the mecA gene was regarded as the benchmark for identifying methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) (Monsen et al., 2003; Unal et al., 1994b). Further studies reported that MRSA strains bearing the genes encoding for Panton-Valentine leucocidin (PVL), a highly powerful toxin, have been accountable for a serious threat to public health (Holmes et al., 2005; Sina et al., 2018). In addition to ica genes, it is known that the intercellular adhesion (ica) locus genes present in Staphylococcus spp. (Cramton et al., 1999) and the expression of these genes will activate the capsular polysaccharide of S. aureus (Namvar et al., 2013). Gad (Gad et al., 2009) reported that icaA genes play a significant role in biofilm formation in S. aureus. The creation of bacterial biofilmlike communities within the urinary bladder complicates treatment because their adhesion to uroepithelial tissues is crucial for ascending infection (Balamurugan et al., 2015). Besides, these genes are also responsible for protecting the bacteria from the host immune system and antibiotic therapy (Ribeiro et al., 2012).

In the last few decades, *S. aureus* has emerged as the most prevalent Methicillin-resistant bacterium in the globe and it has become the most often isolated species among Staphylococcus in various clinical samples (Grundmann *et al.*, 2006; Harkins *et al.*, 2017; Louie *et al.*, 2000b). Although studies reported that MRSA is significantly associated with urinary catheterization

^{*} Corresponding author This is an open access under a CC BY-NC-SA 4.0 license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/)

(Gad *et al.*, 2009; Ibtissem *et al.*, 2013; Muder *et al.*, 2006; Walker *et al.*, 2017) and antibiotic use (Nandhini *et al.*, 2022), recent incidents recorded that MRSA occurs among UTIs out-patients as well (Ahmed *et al.*, 2014; Mitiku *et al.*, 2021b). Since there is not a thorough surveillance program of community-acquired UTIs caused by MSRA in Kurdistan Region-Iraq, this study was undertaken to assess the prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of MRSA in Zakho Hospital. It is believed that this is the first article from Kurdistan Region-Iraq that describes the *mecA*, *icaA* and *PVL* of MRSA and causes of community-onset UTIs.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Patients and samples collection

This current study was conducted at Zakho General Hospital in Zakho City, Kurdistan Region, Iraq, from August 2021 and March 2022. A total of 402 midstream urine specimens of symptomatic outpatient UTIs (less than 80 years) and they had some symptoms of the following; burning, dysuria, as well as discomfort, pain in the pelvic and back region, and polyuria and confirming that patients did not receive antimicrobials treatment.

2.2 S. aureus isolation and Identification

All urine samples were directly cultured on Mannitol Salt Agar (MSA) and Blood Agar (BA) (5% of sheep blood) and incubated aerobically for 24 hrs. at 37° C. A specimen was considered positive for UTI if a single organism was cultured at a concentration of 10^4 - 10^5 CFU/ml (A. Silva *et al.*, 2022a). Then sub-cultured on Mannitol Salt Agar and incubated aerobically at 37° C for 24hrs. The identification of *S. aureus* based on the standard microbiological protocols and biochemical characteristics of these pure colonies includes Gram-staining (Atom Scientific Ltd, UK), MSA (Neogen Ltd, UK), and catalase and coagulase tests (Oxoid Ltd, England) (Bale *et al.*, 2021; Selim *et al.*, 2022).

2.3 Antimicrobial susceptibility testing and Oxacillin test

All *S. aureus* was tested for antibiotic sensitivity patterns using the Kirby-Bauer method (Disc Diffusion Method) (Biemer, 1973; Omar, 2014), including MRSA detection by Oxacillin disc test (Velasco *et al.*, 2005). This was performed on Mueller–Hinton Agar with the following antibiotic discs (Bioanalyse Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing Discs, Turkey); Imipenem (IPM; 10µg), Rifampin (RA; 5µg), Gentamicin (CN; 10µg), Ciprofloxacin (CIP; 10µg), Amikacin (AK; 10µg), Norfloxacin (NOR; 30µg), Meropenem (MEM; 10µg), Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (AMC; 20/10µg), Levofloxacin (LEV; 5µg), Cephalexin (CL; 30µg), Oxacillin (OX; 5µg), Trimethoprim (TMP; 10µg), Tetracycline (TE; 10µg), Cloxacillin (CX; 10µg), Cefotaxime (CTX; $30\mu g$), Methicillin (ME; $10\mu g$), Erythromycin (E; $10\mu g$), and Ampicillin (AM; $10\mu g$). The antibiotic discs were then placed on Muller-Hinton Agar and the inhibition zones were measured using a ruler. The sensitivity pattern was scored simply as whether resistant or sensitive according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI, 2007).

2.4 Bacteria DNA extractions

Bacterial DNA was isolated from overnight cultures on nutrient broth at 37°C. Genomic DNA was extracted by using the commercially available kit (Addprep Bacterial Genomic DNA Extraction kit, INC Daejeon, Korea) following the manufacturer's protocol. The high-quality of extracted bacterial DNA, DNA concentration and purity, was measured by NanoDrop (Thermo Scientific NanoDrop One, United States) and then stored at -20 °C for further investigation.

2.5 Molecular Identification of the specific-species gene of *S. aureus* and detection of MARSA genes

After phylogenetic identification of isolated *S. aureus*, all of them were confirmed by PCR amplification using the specific-gene primer (*nuc*) size (267bp) according to Brakstad (Brakstad *et al.*, 1992) (Table 1 & Figure 1). Then, all *S. aureus* isolates were tested for the presence of three marker genes of MRSA by Multiplex-PCR amplification of the *mecA* (310bp), *luk-PV* (432bp), and *icaA* (188bp) (Strommenger *et al.*, 2008). Details of the four primer sequences (Macrogen, Seoul, Korea), PCR product sizes and thermocycler conditions are illustrated in Table 1.

2.6 PCR and Multiplex amplification

PCR and Multiplex-PCR was performed using (GeneAmp PCR system 9700 Thermocycler PCR machine). Regarding the *nuc* gene, the reaction was carried out in a 20µl containing 10µl of 2X Taq PCR Master Mix polymerase (Guangzhou Dongsheng Biotech Co., Ltd.)), 1µl (10 pmol) of each forward and reverse primers and a 2µl of DNA template (100ng/µl), and then added 6µl free nuclease water. In addition, 20µl of the reaction was prepared for the Multiplex PCR, and the tubes of PCR contains 10µl of 2XTaq PCR Master Mix polymerase and 0.5µl for each forward and reverse of three primers, 2µl DNA and then added 5µl of free-nuclease water. The thermocycle condition of PCR amplifications is illustrated in (Table 1).

2.7. Gel electrophoresis for visualization the PCR products

The PCR products for those genes were visualized 1.5% agarose gel in TAE buffer and staining with RedSafe[™] Nucleic Acid Staining Solution (20,000x) (iNtRON Biotechnology Co., Ltd. Korea). On the gel electrophoresis, the amplified PCR products were separated (80V, 45mins) and compared to a DNA marker ladder (GeNet Bio, Korea). The gel was exposed to UV light to visualize the bands under UV illumination (Cleaver Scientific Ltd, UK) and expected amplicon sizes are shown in Table (1).

Table 1. Primer sequences, PCR product sizes and thermocycle conditions in this study.					
Genes	Primer Sequence (5'_3')	Product size (bp)	PCR Condition for all genes		References
nuc-F nuc-R	5'-GCGATTGATGGTGATACGGTI-3' 5'-AGCCAAGCCTTGACGAACTAAAGC-3'	267bp	Initial denaturation= 95°C for 5 mins,		(Brakstad <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 1992)
mecA-F mecA-R	5'-GTA GAA ATG ACT GAA CGT CCG ATA A-3' 5'-CCA ATT CCA CAT TGT TTC GGT CTAA-3'	310 bp	Denaturation= 94°C for 35 Sec. Annealing= 57°C for 90 Sec. Extension= 72°C for 60 Sec.	35 Cycles	(Strommenge r <i>et al.,</i> 2008)
luk-PV-F luk-PV-R	5'-ATC ATT AGGTAA AAT GTC TGG ACA TGA TCC A-3' 5'-GCA TCA AGT GTA TTG GAT AGC AAA AGC -3'	432 bp			
icaA-F icaA-R	5'-CGAGAAAAAGAATATGGCTG-3' 5'-ACCATGTTGCGTAACCACCT-3'	188pb	Final extension= 68 °C for 10	mins.	

Table 1. Primer sequences, PCR product sizes and thermocycle conditions in this study.

2.8 Statistical analysis

The Venn Diagram (http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/Venn/) was carried out online to analyze the distribution of MRSA marker genes among isolates with UTIs. GraphPad Prism version 9.1.4 was used to calculate the Spearman's correlation coefficient was used for nonparametric correlation between these marker genes of MRSA and antibiotics resistance patterns depending on age with UTIs and the significance was established if p < 0.05.

2.9 Ethical approval

The approval for conducting this study was given by the Ethical Committee of Duhok Directorate General of Health (ethical code n 18082021-8-27) and the Ethical and Protocol Review Committee of the Biological Sciences Committee (BSCZ) at the University of Zakho (ID: "BSCZ/28/7/2021").

3. RESULTS

3.1. *S. aureus* isolation with phenotypic and genotypic detection

A total of 402 specimens of (midstream) urine were collected from adult outpatients of both genders with UTI symptoms. The growth bacterial cultures were 293 (72.9%) and from these, 37 (12.6%) were phenotypic identification of *S. aureus*. Gram-positive cocci, golden yellow colonies on BA and mannitol fermenting yellow color on MSA and positive for both catalase and coagulase tests were done for phenotypic identification. Then, all isolated *S. aureus* were molecularly confirmed by PCR amplification of the specificspecies gene (*nuc*) and the electrophoresis gel is as shown in Figure 1.







The amplified DNA fragments specific primers *nuc* gene; lanes for isolated bacteria samples S20-S28 and lane Ladder for 100bp (GDSBio Marker). These amplified DNA fragments were pipetted into a prepared 1.5% agarose gel stained with 5μ l of RedSafeTM Nucleic Acid Staining Solution.

3.2 MRSA detection

Isolated *S. aureus* were subjected to detect whether they are MRSA or not, by Oxacillin Disc test and confirmed by PCR amplification of *mecA* gene. The amplicon size is 310bp. From these isolated *S. aureus*, a total of 28 (75.7%) of *S. aureus* were resistant to Oxacillin and have *mecA* gene and considered as MRSA. The total number of UTIs with MRSA was 26 (92.9%) and 25 (89.3%) in married and suffered from UTIs chronic cases, respectively. In addition, the prevalence rate of infected females 24 (85.7%) was higher than males. In addition to *mecA* gene, these isolates MRSA were tested to get both *icaA* and *luk-PV* genes. The prevalence rate of both *icaA* and *luk-PV* genes was found in 21 (75%) and 18

(64.3%) of isolates MRSA with amplicon sizes 188bp and 432bp, respectively (Figure 1b).



(a)



(b)

Figure 2. Gel electrophoresis for the multiplex PCR assay for detecting *mecA*, *icaA* and *luk-PV*, genes of isolates MRSA (a) and the prevalence rate and total number of these marker genes (b).

The amplified DNA fragments by multiplex PCR assay for the marker genes (a); lane control negative (Control -ve), lane 3-7 for the amplified DNA fragments produced (S22 and S11, possess both *mecA* and *icaA* genes, S10 possess both *mecA* and *luk-PV*, S20, S19, S18 and S12 have three genes; *luk-PV*, *mecA* and *icaA* genes). These amplified DNA fragments were pipetted into a prepared 1.5% agarose gel stained with 5µl of RedSafeTM Nucleic Acid Staining Solution. The prevalence rate and total number of *mecA*, *icaA* and *luk-PV* genes.

3.3 Distribution and relationship of these marker genes in isolated MRSA

Figure (3a) demonstrates the details of MRSA marker genes harbored in all of the isolated *S. aureus* samples. A total of 14 (50.0%) of isolated samples possess of *mecA*, *icaA*, and *luk-PV* genes while 7 (25%) of them harbored two genes: *mecA*, and *icaA*. Furthermore, 4 (14.3%) of isolated have both genes; *mecA* and *luk-PV*, and only 3 (10.7%) have *mecA*, respectively. In addition, one bacterium has only *luk-PV* gene. In addition, the Spearman's correlation coefficient of these data indicated a significant positive correlation between *mecA* and both virulence marker genes of *luk-PV*, and *icaA* in MRSA with UTIs (Figure 3b).

The distribution of MRSA marker genes among isolates with UTIs by Venn Diagram software. A total 14 of MRSA possess three genes; *mecA and luk-PV*, and *icaA and* followed by 7, 4, and 3 isolated MRSA possess two genes (*mecA*, and *icaA*), and (*mecA* and *luk-PV*), and *luk-PV*, respectively. The non-parametric Spearman correlation analysis indicated that the numbers of MRSA increased with increased both marker genes and the marker denote a sum observation of these genes for a particular age group of UTIs. The significance was considered when p<0.05.



Figure 3. The Venn Diagram for the distribution of marker genes among (a) and correlation analysis between the specific-gene (*mecA*) and both the marker genes of (*icaA* and *luk-PV*) (b) of isolates MRSA with UTIs.

3.5 Antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of MRSA

Isolated MRSA were subjected to the antimicrobial susceptibility to determine patterns for the 18 antibiotics disks, and the results are shown in Figure (2).

Isolated MRSA exhibited total resistance to Ampicillin and approximately 96%, 85%, 78%, 78 and 74% for Cefotaxime, Methicillin, Norfloxacin, Cloxacillin, and Amikacin, respectively. Nearly the same percentage 70% was resistant to Trimethoprim, Tetracycline Erythromycin, and Cephalexin. Additionally, around 63% of MRSA isolates were resistant Meropenem and the same percentage of both 56% and 52% were resistant to both Levofloxacin and Gentamicin, and Ciprofloxacin and Rifampin, respectively. By contrast, these isolates MRSA were sensitive to Imipenem 92.6%.



Figure 2. The antibiotics sensitivity patterns of MRSA isolates

Keys: Antibiotic; IPM; Imipenem, RA; Rifampin, CN; Gentamicin, CIP; Ciprofloxacin, AK; Amikacin, NoR; Norfloxacin, MEM; Meropenem, AMC; Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, LEV; Levofloxacin, CL; Cephalexin, OX; Oxacillin, TMP; Trimethoprim, TE; Tetracycline, CX; Cloxacillin, CTX; Cefotaxime, ME; Methicillin, E; Erythromycin, AM; Ampicillin.

4. DISCUSSION

Uropathogenic bacteria have been identified as a major cause of UTIs, with highly significant morbidity and mortality rates, worldwide (Tula et al., 2016). Generally, Gram-positive bacteria, particularly S. aureus, have emerged as significant contributors to hospital and community-acquired infections and almost are resistant to antibiotics and easily spread (Lunacek et al., 2014). In this study, S. aureus accounted for only 37 (12.6%) of isolates from urine samples submitted from the community. This finding was approximately similar with laboratory-based studies conducted in Iraq; 11.1% in Tikrit City (Al-Jebouri & Mdish, 2013) and 13.5% in Thi-Qar City (Abbas & Hamim, 2019). In addition, this finding was supported by earlier studies that reported that S. aureus was the second most common pathogen in UTIs, and it is more common in women (Onanuga & Awhowho, 2012; Silva et al., 2022a). However, their studies did not show whether S. aureus was MRSA or not.

It is interesting to note that in this study, out of 28 (75.7%) isolates were Oxacillin resistant from the total of 37 clinical isolates of S. aureus, which are considered as MRSA. The incidence of UTIs with MRSA is much higher than what has been reported in recent studies in Iraq; in Baghdad City Khaleel et al. (2021) reported that 7.7% of isolates were positive for MRSA when using Oxacillin and Cefoxitin resistances as a marker for detecting MRSA, In contrast, in Thi-Qar City a study condcuted by Abbas and Hamim (Abbas & Hamim, 2019) reported that all isolated S. aureus were Oxacillin-resistant without mentioning whether they are MRSA or not. Furthermore, the high frequency of MRSA is resembled to those recently found in Khartoum, Sudan (Omar, 2014), where 72% of the detected isolates were MRSA. However, their study methods of identification were different from this study. On the other hand, this finding was much higher than that found in recent studies in South Ethiopia by Mitiku et al., (2021b) and in India by Mendem et al., (2016), lower prevalence of 42.6% and 55.3% among outpatients' community-acquired UTIs, respectively.

It is emphasized that mecA gene is the specific-genes for the identification of MRSA from clinical samples (Maes et al., 2002; Metri & Jyothi, 2021). In the current study, the genotypic detection of MRSA in UTIs by mecA gene was confirmed for all isolates. Females were highly significant infected than males, particularly among married and chronic UTIs cases. This high frequency of detecting the mecA gene is comparable to this found in Sudan (Ahmed et al., 2014). The incidence of detecting mecA is almost double than that illustrated in a recent study in India (Jyothi & Metri, 2021), with a lower prevalence of 44 % from catheterized patients with UTIs. This variant rate could be explained by identification methods, time and condition of collecting sample and geographical differences (Mitiku et al., 2021b). Indeed, MRSA has become not only a global nosocomial disease and rapid dissemination to healthcare and the community but also it is extremely antibiotic resistant, with variations between institutions and countries (Grundmann et al., 2006; Louie et al., 2000b; Mitiku et al., 2021b). The UTIs with MRSA are probably because of a number of clinical factors, including anatomical variations, hormonal impacts (hormonal changes during pregnancy favor UTIs in females), behavioral tendencies, and physiological causes (Silva et al., 2022b). Because of their smaller urethral length and closer vaginal cavity and rectal entrance (where possible uropathogens reside), females are more likely than males to have germs enter the urethra and climb to the bladder (Silva et al., 2022b). Additionally, it is believed that chronic cases highly sexually active individuals, and childbearing age groups are the key areas where S. aureus is prevalent (Akortha & Ibadin, 2008; Ramasamy et al., 2019). Other factors associated with urinary tract infection as mentioned above such as gender, age and marriage may play a significant role in

contributing to the increased incidence of MRSA in the community. In addition to those factors, the existence of a chronic underlying condition was statistically related with the frequency of MRSA, who has a history of UTIs. This finding is in agreement with a study condcuted in Southern Ethiopia (Mitiku et al., 2021a). They reported that patients with a history of UTI were more likely to have MRSA than those without a history of UTIs. Besides, another reason for the high frequency might be possible MRSA patients who have been discharged from the hospital spreading the infection throughout the community (Lunacek et al., 2014). In this current study, the high frequency of icaA (75%) and luk-PV (64.3%) in all isolated MRSA, is comparable to a study done in Sudan (Ahmed et al., 2014) where 64% of MRSA possess the icaA gene while it was luk-PV (25%). According to an epidemiological study by Bhatta (Bhatta et al., 2016), PVL genes were linked to increased virulence of community-acquired MRSA from various samples. Thus, the PVL gene has been identified as a reliable marker of community-acquired MRSA strains in various clinical samples (Amin et al., 2020; Holmes et al., 2005; Motamedi et al., 2015). It is noted that a few studies have established antibiotics susceptibility patterns of MRSA with UTIs. In this study, all MRSA was resistant to Ampicillin. This finding was similar to a recent study conducted in Ethiopia (Mitiku et al., 2021b). Furthermore, MRSA was highly resistant to Cefotaxime (92%) and this finding was higher than that found in recent studies (71%) conducted in Sudan (Ahmed et al., 2014), and 74.2% in Egypt (Ibrahim et al., 2020), while MRSA were around 75% resistant to Norfloxacin, and Amikacin and they are nearly close to the finding reported in the recent studies in Ethiopia (Mitiku et al., 2021b) and in Austria (Lunacek *et al.*, 2014). In addition, around 67.8% of MRSA were resistant to Trimethoprim, Tetracycline, Erythromycin, and Cephalexin and these findings were roughly similar to a study done in Iraq (Hamad et al., 2016) and in Khartoum State (Ahmed et al., 2013). By contrast, these isolates MRSA were sensitive to Imipenem 92.8% and this finding was a bit higher than that arrived at in the study conducted in Afghanistan 81.4% (Naimi et al., 2017). The high prevalence resistance rate of MARSA to antibiotics is due to several factors ,namely its ability to form biofilms, by icaA, might be a significant factor in chronic UTIs and antimicrobial drug resistance (Silva et al., 2021; Yousefi et al., 2016). The development of multidrug resistance may be maintained by the slow diffusion of antibiotics through the biofilm matrix, conceivably by selecting highly tolerant strains that are briefly exposed to sub-inhibitory doses of antimicrobial therapy. Di Domenico et al. (2017) reported that the creation of biofilms may give colonizing bacteria important virulence traits ,such as immunity to the host immune system protection and increased general antibiotic tolerance, non-biofilm producers. In addition, The overuse of antibiotics, especially imposes selection pressure on the generation of resistant strains, may potentially contribute to the high prevalence of PVL together with the virulence factor (Amin et al., 2020; Kaur et al., 2012; Motamedi et al., 2015).

This is not suppressing that MRSA strains are typically resistant to multiple antibiotics (Grundmann *et al.*, 2006; Onanuga & Awhowho, 2012; Petca *et al.*, 2021), and it may transmit among people by physical contact and rarely by air according to WHO (WHO, 2021). The role of biofilm formation in these bacteria might also associated with multidrug resistance (Balamurugan *et al.*, 2015). In fact, the community strains' resistance to multiple therapies indicates that they may have originated from the hospital. In addition to these factors, in Iraqi Kurdistan, over the counter and both general practitioners and many nurses have prescribed antibiotics for patients without obtaining the antibiotic sensitivity test from the microbiological laboratory clinic. Therefore, the emergence of MRSA resistant to antibiotics is needed to be well documented and creating strategies for empirical treatment and in assessing the current guidelines (Chambers & DeLeo, 2009).

The main limitation of the study is the lack of sequencing of the 16s rRNA gene of MRSA. Hence studying the phylogenetic tree and whole gene sequencing is essential for understanding the epidemiology and infections in the urinary tract.

5. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that MRSA isolates were the common pathogens from Gram-positive bacteria, particularly married and chronic cases with of UTIs from Zakho City in Iraqi-Kurdistan. Females were highly infected than males and acute cases found in the community-acquired community UTIs. Furthermore, the molecular detections of MRSA strain in UTIs and the highly incidence of infections was strongly associated with both *icaA* and *luk-PV* genes as well as multidrug-resistant which were positively associated with these genes. In addition, the most effective antibiotic for treating UTIs, especially with MRSA, is considered to be Imipenem. The recommendations for the use of antibiotics should be monitored by the public health sectors. Further studies of UTIs with *S. aureus* should investigate whether they are MRSA or not in other cities in Kurdistan Regional-Iraq.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to the Zakho General Teaching Hospital, Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, University of Zakho, and Zakho Technical Institute, for providing some of the research facilities.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Abbas, A. A. R., & Hamim, S. S. (2019). Serological and Molecular detection of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from UTI patients * Ministry of health-Thi-Qar health office. * Department of pathological analysis-College of science-. *14*(3), 64–79.
- Abdulsalam Khaleel, R., Alfuraiji, N., Waleed Hussain, B., Fawzi Nassar, M., & Ebrahimzadeh, F. (2021). Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in urinary tract infections; prevalence and antimicrobial resistance Implication for health policy/practice/research/medical education. Journal of Renal Injury Prevention J Renal Inj Prev, 10(x). https://doi.org/10.34172/jrip.2021.xx
- Ahmed, O. B., Elmekki, M. A., & Omer, E. E. (2014). Molecular detection of Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in patients with urinary tract infections in Khartoum State. Journal of Science and Technology.
- Ahmed, O. B., Elmekki, M. A., Omer, E. E., & Mogahid, M. (2013). Journal of Natural and Medical Molecular Detection of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. 15(1).
- Akortha, E. E., & Ibadin, O. K. (2008). Incidence and antibiotic susceptibility pattern of *Staphylococcus aureus* amongst patients with urinary tract infection (UTI) in UBTH Benin City, Nigeria. African Journal of Biotechnology, 7(11).
- Al-Jebouri, M. M., & Mdish, S. A. (2013). Antibiotic Resistance Pattern of Bacteria Isolated from Patients of Urinary Tract Infections in Iraq. Open Journal of Urology, 03(02), 124–131. https://doi.org/10.4236/oju.2013.32024
- Amin, D. H. M., Guler, E., & Baddal, B. (2020). Prevalence of Panton-Valentine leukocidin in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* clinical isolates at a university hospital in Northern Cyprus: a pilot study. BMC Research Notes, 13(1), 1–7.
- Archer, G. L., & Pennell, E. (1990). Detection of methicillin resistance in staphylococci by using a DNA probe. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 34(9), 1720–1724.
- Balamurugan, P., Hema, M., Kaur, G., Sridharan, V., Prabu, P. C., Sumana, M. N., & Princy, S. A. (2015). Development of a biofilm inhibitor molecule against multidrug-resistant

Staphylococcus aureus associated with gestational urinary tract infections. Frontiers in Microbiology, 6(JUL), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00832

- Baraboutis, I. G., Tsagalou, E. P., Lepinski, J. L., Papakonstantinou, I., Papastamopoulos, V., Skoutelis, A. T., & Johnson, S. (2010). Primary *Staphylococcus aureus* urinary tract infection: the role of undetected hematogenous seeding of the urinary tract. European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases, 29(9), 1095–1101.
- Bhatta, D. R., Cavaco, L. M., Nath, G., Kumar, K., Gaur, A., Gokhale, S., & Bhatta, D. R. (2016). Association of Panton-Valentine Leukocidin (PVL) genes with methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) in Western Nepal: A matter of concern for community infections (a hospital-based prospective study). BMC Infectious Diseases, 16(1), 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1186/S12879-016-1531-1
- Biemer, J. J. (1973). Antimicrobial susceptibility testing by the Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method. Annals of Clinical & Laboratory Science, 3(2), 135–140.
- Brakstad, O. G., Aasbakk, K., & Maeland, J. A. (1992). Detection of Staphylococcus aureus by polymerase chain reaction amplification of the *nuc* gene. Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 30(7), 1654–1660.
- Chambers, H. F., & DeLeo, F. R. (2009). Waves of resistance: Staphylococcus aureus in the antibiotic era. Nature Reviews Microbiology, 7(9), 629–641.
- Cramton, S. E., Gerke, C., Schnell, N. F., Nichols, W. W., & Götz, F. (1999). The intercellular adhesion (*ica*) locus is present in *Staphylococcus aureus* and is required for biofilm formation. Infection and Immunity, 67(10), 5427– 5433.https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.67.10.5427-5433.1999
- David, M. Z., & Daum, R. S. (2010). Community-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus:* Epidemiology and clinical consequences of an emerging epidemic. Clinical Microbiology Reviews, 23(3), 616– 687. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00081-09
- Di Domenico, E. G., Farulla, I., Prignano, G., Gallo, M. T., Vespaziani, M., Cavallo, I., Sperduti, I., Pontone, M., Bordignon, V., & Cilli, L. (2017). Biofilm is a major virulence determinant in bacterial colonization of chronic skin ulcers independently from the multidrug-resistant phenotype—International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 18(5), 1077.
- Gad, G. F. M., El-Feky, M. A., El-Rehewy, M. S., Hassan, M. A., Abolella, H., & Abd El-Baky, R. M. (2009). Detection of icaA, icaD genes and biofilm production by *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* isolated from urinary tract catheterized patients. The Journal of Infection in Developing Countries, 3(05), 342– 351.
- Grundmann, H., Aires-de-Sousa, M., Boyce, J., & Tiemersma, E. (2006). Emergence and resurgence of meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* as a public-health threat. Lancet, 368(9538), 874–885. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(06)68853-3
- Hamad, M. O., Abbas, W. A., & Almayahi, B. A. (2016). Effect of β lactam antibiotics with Aminoglycosides on Multidrug Resistance *Staphylococcus aureus*. Int J PharmTech Res, 9(11), 267–273.
- Harkins, C. P., Pichon, B., Doumith, M., Parkhill, J., Westh, H., Tomasz, A., de Lencastre, H., Bentley, S. D., Kearns, A. M., & Holden, M. T. G. (2017). Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* emerged long before the introduction of methicillin into clinical practice. Genome Biology, 18(1), 1–11.
- Hernandez, B., Herrero-Viñas, P., Rawson, T. M., Moore, L. S. P., Holmes, A. H., & Georgiou, P. (2021). Resistance Trend Estimation Using Regression Analysis to Enhance Antimicrobial Surveillance: A Multi-Centre Study in London 2009–2016. Antibiotics, 10(10), 1267.
- Holmes, A., Ganner, M., McGuane, S., Pitt, T. L., Cookson, B. D., & Kearns, A. M. (2005). *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates carrying panton-valentine leucocidin genes in England and Wales: Frequency, characterization, and association with clinical disease. Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 43(5), 2384–2390. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.43.5.2384-2390.2005

- I. Bale, M., Babatunde, S. K., & Awe, S. (2021). Prevalence of Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Bacteriuria among Pregnant Women Attending Secondary Health Hospitals in Ilorin, Nigeria. Journal of Advances in Microbiology, September, 50–57. https://doi.org/10.9734/jamb/2021/v21i930383
- Ibrahim, E., Él-Baghdady, K., Abd El-All, S., Warda, M., Prince, A., & Ibrahim, M. (2020). Prevalence of multidrug resistance in the Egyptian methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates. African Journal of Biological Sciences, 16(1), 43–52. https://doi.org/10.21608/ajbs.2020.80481
- Ibtissem, K. T., Hafida, H., Salwa, O., Samia, B., Imen, M., Meriem, L., & Mohammed, T. (2013). Detection of icaA and icaD genes and biofilm formation in *Staphylococcus spp*. Isolated from urinary catheters at the University Hospital of Tlemcen (Algeria). African Journal of Microbiology Research, 7(47), 5350–5357. https://doi.org/10.5897/ajmr2013.5873
- Jyothi, P., & Metri, B. C. (2021). Antibiogram and Isolation of S. aureus from the Urinary Tract Infections: Comparison of Meca Gene Detection and Phenotypic Methods for Detection of Methicillin-Resistant S. aureus.
- Kaur, H., Purwar, S., Saini, A., Kaur, H., Karadesai, S. G., Kholkute, S. D., & Roy, S. (2012). Status of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infections and evaluation of PVLproducing strains in Belgaum, South India. Journal of Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences University, 1(2), 43–51.
- Louie, L., Matsumura, S. O., Choi, E., Louie, M., & Simor, A. E. (2000a). Evaluation of three rapid methods for detection of methicillin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*. Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 38(6), 2170–2173.
- Louie, L., Matsumura, S. O., Choi, E., Louie, M., & Simor, A. E. (2000b). Evaluation of three rapid methods for detection of methicillin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*. Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 38(6), 2170–2173. https://doi.org/10.1128/.38.6.2170-2173.2000
- Lunacek, A., Koenig, U., Mrstik, C., Radmayr, C., Horninger, W., & Plas, E. (2014). Unexpected multidrug resistance of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in urine samples: A single-centre study. Korean Journal of Urology, 55(5), 349– 353. https://doi.org/10.4111/kju.2014.55.5.349
- Maes, N., Magdalena, J., Rottiers, S., De Gheldre, Y., & Struelens, M. J. (2002). Evaluation of a triplex PCR assay to discriminate *Staphylococcus aureus* from coagulase-negative staphylococci and determine methicillin resistance from blood cultures. Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 40(4), 1514–1517. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.40.4.1514-1517.2002
- Mendem, S. K., Alasthimannahalli Gangadhara, T., Shivannavar, C. T., & Gaddad, S. M. (2016). Antibiotic resistance patterns of *Staphylococcus aureus*: A multicenter study from India. Microbial Pathogenesis, 98, 167–170. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2016.07.010
- Metri, B. C., & Jyothi, P. (2021). Antibiogram and isolation of s. Aureus from the urinary tract infections: Comparison of mecA gene detection and phenotypic methods for detection of methicillinresistant s. aureus. International Journal of Current Research and Review, 13(7), 29–33. https://doi.org/10.31782/IJCRR.2021.13717
- Mitiku, A., Aklilu, A., Biresaw, G., & Gize, A. (2021a). Prevalence and associated factors of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) among urinary tract infection suspected patients attending at Arba Minch general hospital, southern Ethiopia. Infection and Drug Resistance, 14, 2133–2142. https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S306648
- Mitiku, A., Aklilu, A., Biresaw, G., & Gize, A. (2021b). Prevalence and Associated Factors of Methicillin Resistance *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) Among Urinary Tract Infection Suspected Patients Attending at Arba Minch General Hospital, Southern Ethiopia. Infection and Drug Resistance, 14, 2133.
- Monsen, T., Persson, S., Edebro, H., Granström, S., & Wiström, J. (2003). Mueller-Hinton agar is superior to PDM blood agar for detecting methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Clinical Microbiology and Infection, 9(1), 61–64. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-0691.2003.00462.x
- Morado, F., & Wong, D. W. (2022). Applying diagnostic stewardship to proactively optimize the management of urinary tract infections. Antibiotics, 11(3), 308.
- Motamedi, H., Abadi, S. S. R., Moosavian, S. M., & Torabi, M. (2015). The Association of Panton-valentine leukocidin and *mecA*

genes in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from patients referred to educational hospitals in Ahvaz, Iran. Jundishapur Journal of Microbiology, 8(8).

- Muder, R. R., Brennen, C., Rihs, J. D., Wagener, M. M., Obman, A., Obman, A., Stout, J. E., & Yu, V. L. (2006). Isolation of *Staphylococcus aureus* from the urinary tract: association of isolation with symptomatic urinary tract infection and subsequent staphylococcal bacteremia. Clinical Infectious Diseases, 42(1), 46–50.
- Naimi, H. M., Rasekh, H., Noori, A. Z., & Bahaduri, M. A. (2017). Determination of antimicrobial susceptibility patterns in *Staphylococcus aureus* strains recovered from patients at two main health facilities in Kabul, Afghanistan. BMC Infectious Diseases, 17(1), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-017-2844-4
- Namvar, A. E., Asghari, B., Ezzatifar, F., Azizi, G., & Lari, A. R. (2013). Detection of the intercellular adhesion gene cluster (ica) in clinical *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates. GMS Hygiene and Infection Control, 8(1).
- Nandhini, P., Kumar, P., Mickymaray, S., Alothaim, A. S., Somasundaram, J., & Rajan, M. (2022). Recent Developments in Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) Treatment: A Review. Antibiotics, 11(5), 606.
- Omar, B. A. (2014). Prevalence of mecA, PVL and ica genes in *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from urinary tract infections patients. African Journal of Microbiology Research, 8(50), 3908–3912.
- Onanuga, A., & Awhowho, G. O. (2012). Antimicrobial resistance of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains from patients with urinary tract infections in Yenagoa, Nigeria. Journal of Pharmacy & Bioallied Sciences, 4(3), 226.
- Petca, R. C., Negoiță, S., Mareş, C., Petca, A., Popescu, R. I., & Chibelean, C. B. (2021). Heterogeneity of antibiotics multidrug-resistance profile of uropathogens in Romanian population. Antibiotics, 10(5), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics10050523
- Ramasamy, P., Sharmili, A., C., I. C., Okonkwo, N. J., Oliveira, R. L. e, Pereira, S. A., Silva, L. A. de O. da, Albuquerque, P. M., Gloria, I.-N. C., Happy, C., Borba, C. B. A., Junior, S. D., Gusmão, N. B. de, Andrade, E. V. de, Sivakumar, S. R., Azhivaendhan, A., Célestine, N.-L., Tsiba, G., Yaya, M., ... Hindi, S. K. K. (2019). Advances and Trends in Biotechnology and Genetics Vol. 3. Advances and Trends in Biotechnology and Genetics Vol. 3, 3(December). https://doi.org/10.9734/bpi/atbg/v3
- Ribeiro, M., Monteiro, F. J., & Ferraz, M. P. (2012). Infection of orthopedic implants with emphasis on bacterial adhesion process and techniques used in studying bacterialmaterial interactions. Biomatter, 2(4), 176–194.
- Selim, S., Faried, O. A., Almuhayawi, M. S., Saleh, F. M., Sharaf, M., El Nahhas, N., & Warrad, M. (2022). Incidence of Vancomycin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Strains among Patients with Urinary Tract Infections. Antibiotics, 11(3), 408.
- Shigemura, K., Shirakawa, T., Okada, H., Tanaka, K., Kamidono, S., Arakawa, S., & Gotoh, A. (2005). Rapid detection and differentiation of Gram-negative and Gram-positive pathogenic bacteria in urine using TaqMan probe. Clinical and Experimental Medicine, 4(4), 196–201. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10238-004-0056-x
- Silva, A., Costa, E., Freitas, A., & Almeida, A. (2022a). Revisiting the Frequency and Antimicrobial Resistance Patterns of Bacteria Implicated in Community Urinary Tract Infections. Antibiotics, 11(6), 768.
- Silva, A., Costa, E., Freitas, A., & Almeida, A. (2022b). Revisiting the Frequency and Antimicrobial Resistance Patterns of Bacteria Implicated in Community Urinary Tract Infections. Antibiotics, 11(6), 768. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics11060768
- Silva, V., Almeida, L., Gaio, V., Cerca, N., Manageiro, V., Caniça, M., Capelo, J. L., Igrejas, G., & Poeta, P. (2021). Biofilm formation of multidrug-resistant MRSA strains isolated from different types of human infections. Pathogens, 10(8), 970.
- Sina, H., Semassa, J. A., Dougnon, V. T., Adjile, A. A., Baba Moussa, F., Bankole, H. S., & Baba Moussa, L. (2018). Antibiotics Resistance Profile of Staphylococci Isolated

from Urogenital Infections and Toxins Production of *Staphylococcus aureus* Strains. Annals of Medical and Health Sciences Research, 8(1), 29–34. https://www.amhsr.org/abstract/antibiotics-resistance-profile-of-staphylococci-isolated-from-urogenital-infections-and-toxins-production-ofrnstaphyloco-4211.html

- Strommenger, B., Braulke, C., Pasemann, B., Schmidt, C., & Witte, W. (2008). Multiplex PCR for rapid detection of Staphylococcus aureus isolates suspected to represent community-acquired strains. Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 46(2), 582–587.
- CLSI (Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute) Performanc Standards for Antimicrobial 409 Susceptibility testing. Clinical and Laboratory Standards 410 Institute; Wayne, PA, USA: 2007. Seventeeth Informational Supplement. M100-17. [Google Scholar]
- Tula, M. Y., Okoro, A. V, Okojie, R. O., & Iyoha, O. (2016). Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern and plasmid-mediated antibacterial resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* and coagulase-negative staphylococci. Highland Medical Research Journal, January.
- Unal, S., Werner, K., DeGirolami, P., Barsanti, F., & Eliopoulos, G. (1994a). Comparison of tests for detection of methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in a clinical microbiology laboratory. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 38(2), 345–347.
- Unal, S., Werner, K., DeGirolami, P., Barsanti, F., & Eliopoulos, G. (1994b). Comparison of tests for detection of methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in a clinical microbiology laboratory. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 38(2), 345–347. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.38.2.345
- Velasco, D., del Mar Tomas, M., Cartelle, M., Beceiro, A., Perez, A., Molina, F., Moure, R., Villanueva, R., & Bou, G. (2005). Evaluation of different methods for detecting methicillin (oxacillin) resistance in Staphylococcus aureus. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, 55(3), 379–382. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dki017
- Walker, J. N., Flores-Mireles, A. L., Pinkner, C. L., Schreiber IV, H. L., Joens, M. S., Park, A. M., Potretzke, A. M., Bauman, T. M., Pinkner, J. S., & Fitzpatrick, J. A. J. (2017). Catheterization alters bladder ecology to potentiate *Staphylococcus aureus* infection of the urinary tract. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 114(41), E8721–E8730.
- WHO. (2021). No Title. Https://Www.Who.Int/News-Room/Fact-Sheets/Detail/Antimicrobial-Resistance.
- Yousefi, M., Pourmand, M. R., Fallah, F., Hashemi, A., Mashhadi, R., & Nazari-Alam, A. (2016). Characterization of *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm formation in urinary tract infection. Iranian Journal of Public Health, 45(4), 485–493.