# SOME TECHNOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF SAWN BOARD Eucalyptus camaldulensis Denh. GROWN IN ASKIKALAK

Mohammedamin Yasin Taha

School of Forestry Dept. of Forestry, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Duhok, Kurdistan Region-Iraq. (Accepted for publication: May 5, 2015)

#### ABSTRACT

This study deals with wood density and static bending boards property of *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* Den. It was conducted using standardized, defect-free test specimens. Boards of air drying showed lowest value (749.117 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>) of static bending when compared with kiln (812.267kg/cm<sup>2</sup>) and solar (815.267kg/cm<sup>2</sup>) drying respectively, whereas, the quarter sawing boards recorded the lowest rates (586.633kg/cm<sup>2</sup>) when compared with flat sawn boards (1008.467kg/cm<sup>2</sup>), Also 2cm thickness level achieved the lowest rate (747.367kg/cm<sup>2</sup>) when compared with other two levels 4cm (812.650 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>) and 6cm (837.633kg/cm<sup>2</sup>) of thickness. But, there were no any significant effects of wood density on the studied factors. *E. camaldulensis* in Kurdistan Region of Iraq has potential for traditional uses and by itself it can be harvested by applying suitable techniques at plantation areas, in saw mills and drying for utilization.

KEYWORDS: Wood Density, Static bending, E. camaldulensis, Sawn Board

### Introduction

Kurdistan Region of Iraq is located in Northern Iraq. According to its fertile soil and appropriate environmental conditions have made this region known for its natural forests. Most prominently, the flowing of a number of big rivers through this region such as Tigris and some others lead to the prospect of establish artificial stands depending on river for irrigation.

About 30-40 species of the genus were introduced to Iraq during the last century Shahbaz, (2010).

Literature mentioned that the two main of them being E.camaldulensis and E. microtiheca, were considerably succeeded in middle and southern of country Rooitzsch and Reader, (1969). E. camaldulensis Den. has been used for pulp, chipboard, fire wood, shelter belts and others. Appropriate temperature and humidity rates as well as precipitation in Kurdistan provided well situations for Eucalypt throughout the year. The shortage of manufacturing wood products in Iraq, so including in Kurdistan Region makes it difficult to have a clear overview of the prospects of eucalypt exploitation (Taha, 2013).

Eucalypts species are recognized simultaneously of the fastest wood producing trees. It has the capability to produce approximately100m<sup>3</sup>/capita. Some investigations in their studies (Myburg, *et al.*, 2006,Acosta,*et al.*,2008 and Iglesias, *et al.*, 2008) reported that there are about 18 Million hectares of Eucalypt in the world, and they expected the total areas of

*Eucalyptus* will increase to reach up to 20 Million hectares in 2010 cited by (Taha,2013). It is distinguished that environmental circumstances might have their important belongings on the wood properties, and for the reason of the lack of researches on eucalypts wood in Iraq principally those associated to its drying, this study was designed to investigate the wood density and static bending (MOE) properties of dried sawn board of trees grown in Kurdistan Region.

#### **Materials and Methods**

Ten trees of *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* with DBH 35-45cm were selected, felled, and logged in a stand at Khabat district (Askikalak) in Erbil Governorate, Kurdistan Region of Iraq where it lies at N 36° 15'; E 43° 38' and located at 252m, It is37km far from Erbil city. All logs were bucked (2m length) then they were sawed by sawing table with band saw (SIPA 100 Saw) preliminarily; the logs then were converted to planks. After that, the resulted planks were sawed into two types of board; quarter sawn board and flat sawn board at three different thickness 2,4, and 6cm. Logs were used for producing lumber for air drying, kiln drying, and solar drying methods respectively.

#### Method of randomizing

The following two characteristics were examined:

Wood density and static bending, before applying the test, make sure that the samples be

free from defects and splits. The tests concerned load the experiment specimens at Mid-length at prepared sawed boards.

With the aim of collect material to be used for present study determined by using ASTM D-143-94 and ASTM D-2395-93 procedures(Anonymous 1996) get 10 store dried (Air, Solar, and kiln) boards of (Eucalyptus camaldulesis) for each properties randomly. To evaluate wood properties of sawed boards, in situation (temperature 20-25°C, 35-40% relative humidity and wood moisture content 12%) defect free specimens (5×2×2cm) for wood density (kg/ cm<sup>2</sup>). And (30×2×2cm) for static bending (MOE) were tested by using the universal Strength Testing Machine.

The collected data so collected were analyzed statistically using experiment; it was included of three factors:

Factor A: Drying method; with 3 levels: (AD; Air Drying, KD; Kiln Drying and SD: Solar Drying)

Factor B: Thickness of board; with 3 levels: (Th1; 2cm, Th2; 4cm, and Th3; 6cm)

Factor C: Board kind; with 2 levels: (FS; Flat Sawn board and QS; Quarter Sawn board).

Numbers of treatment combinations were 18. Ten boards were chosen randomly to represents replication of each treatment combination. The experiment was statistically analyzed as factorial RCBD by using SAS program version 0.9 SAS, (2002). Statistical differences between treatment combination means were tested by Duncan Multiple Range test at 5% level Duncan, (1955).

## **Results and Discussion**

The influence of interaction between drying methods, board thickness, and sawing methods on the studied parameters:

Effect of drying method, board thickness, and sawing method on wood density and static bending of dried board as shown in Table (1)

**Table (1):** Analysis of variance of wood density and static bending as affected by drying method (DM), board thickness (Th), and board kind (BK).

Variable s	d.f	Wood Density F Value	Wood Density Pr > F	Static Bending F Value	Static Bending Pr > F
Drying Methods (DM)	2	2.09	0.1276	4.45	0.0123
Thicknes s (Th)	2	2.21	0.1135	13.72	0.0001
Board Kind (BK)	1	3.08	0.0815	750.65	0.0001
DM×Th	4	1.06	0.3780	3.83	0.0054
DM× BK	2	0.73	0.4814	3.77	0.0252
Thx BK	2	0.96	0.3846	2.66	0.0731
DM×Th× BK	4	1.06	0.3778	3.77	0.0060

Table (1) refers that drying method (DM), thickness (TH), and board kind(BK) and their interaction could not affect significantly on wood density. Mean values of (Tab. 2) indicate that moderate values of wood density have been obtained in almost all treatments.

Accordingly, differences should be so small that they would not be enough to give statistical significances. The results, also agreed with what has been found by Lima *et al.*, (2008) who mentioned that density varies from a minimum of 0.319 g/cm<sup>3</sup> to a maximum of 0.731 g/cm<sup>3</sup>. In general, wood structures formed in early stages of tree growing that have low density.

 Table (2): Mean values of wood density as affected by drying method, board thickness, and board kind.

Drying Methods (DM)	Board Thickness (TH) cm	Board Ki Flat	ind (BK) Quarter	MD T	H Mean of (DM)	
Air Drying(A)	2 4 6	0.570 0.601	0.609 0.680 0.780	0.590 0.641	0.643	
	0	0.008	0.789	0.099	(a)	
Kiln Drying(K))	2 4 6	0.570 0.601 0.608	0.609 0.680 0.789	0.609 0.685 0.715	0.670 (a)	
Solar Drying(S)	2 4 6	0.570 0.601 0.608	0.609 0.680 0.789	0.606 1.065 0.800	0.824 (a)	
	Mean of (BK)	0.644 (a)	0.780 (a)		0.712	
ТН 🗙 ВК	T1 T2 T3	0.578 0.655 0.689	0.616 0.939 0.786		0.601(a) 0.797(a) 0.738(a)	
DM <b>×</b> BK	A K S	0.593 0.648 0.690	0.693 0.692 0.957			

However, the diminishing of wood density alongside tangential direction (flat sawn board) can be associated to differentiations between chemical compositions in wood structures and existing of heartwood near to the pith compare to sapwood Akhtari, *et al.*, (2012). The results were achieved from the study confirmed that the density of dried boards is located within the middy category (0.56 -0.75 g/cm<sup>3</sup>) according to the classification of the IAWA, (1989).

The studied factors were affected differently on static bending (MOE) (Tab.1). While board thickness showed moderate significant effects, drying method affected at lower level, and board kind(BK) high levels of confidence (p<0.05). Drying method showed high statistical influences on static bending. Boards dried by (AD) possessed the lowest values (Tab.3) because the density values were obtained in this study show the difficulty of different drying situations clearly.

Drying Methods Board (DM)	I Thickness Board K (TH) cm	Kind (BK) MD X TH Mean of (DM Flat Quarter	/)
Air Drying(A)	2 746.00	00 553.400 649.700	
4	1036.200 584.500	810.350 749.117	
6	1056.200 614.400	835.300 (b)	
Kiln Drying(K) )	2 1018.0	000 556.400 787.200	
4	1038.200 586.400	812.300 812.267	
6	1058.200 616.400	837.300 (a)	
Solar Drying(S) 4 6	2 1021. 1041.200 589.400 1061.200 619.400	000 559.400 790.200 815.300 815.267 840.300 (a)	
Mean of (B	K) 1008.467 586.6	33 797.550 (a) (b)	
тн <b>х</b> вк -	1 928.333	556.400 742.367(b)	
T2	1038.533 586.767	812.650(a)	
Т3	1058.533 616.733	837.633(a)	
DM×BK	A g	946.133 584.100	
	K 1038.133	586.400	
	S 1041.133	589.400	

**Table (3):** Mean values of MOE as affected by drying method, board thickness, and board kinds.

\*Means of each factor and their interactions followed by the same letters are not significantly different from each other according to Duncan's multiple ranges test at 5% level.

The air dried boards (765.117 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>), when with kiln and solar compared drving respectively. While board thickness increased, static bending values increased too. Thin boards (2 cm thick.) bended only by (742.367 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>), while thickest ones (6cm thick.) deflected from straight line by (837.633 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>)as a mean may be caused by existence of different drying situation. The scholarships Bolza and Kloot (1963), Bryce (1967) and Louppe et al., (2008) were attributed by reason of low age and fast growth level.

Ogunsanwo, (2000), Oluwafemi and Adegbenga, (2007) through their studies on *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* were clarified that the values of density and mechanical properties achieved, They could be of use its wood in various aspects as building, construction, flooring, cabinetry, and furniture.

## **References:**

Acosta, M.S.; Marco, M.; Piter, J.C.; Sossazitto, M.A.; Villalba, D.I. and Carpinetti, L. (2008). Physical and mechanical properties of Eucalyptus grandis x E. tereticornis hybrid grown in Argentina. INTA (Instituto Nacional

deTecnologíaAgropecuaria) c.c. 34 CP 3200 – Concordia Entrée Ríos – Argentine.

- -Anonymous, (1996).World's biggest Rowan, Forestry and British Timber, 25(4).Awani,A.R., Chughtai1,M.I., Ashraf1,M.Y.Mahmood1,K., Rizwan,M.
- -Akhtari1, M., Siddiqui2, M.T. and Khan2, R.A.,(2012). Comparison for Physic-Mechanical properties of farm - grown *Eucalypyus camaldulensis*. With conventional timbers. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 44(6): 2067-2070, 2012.
- -Bolza E, NH Kloot. (1963). The mechanical properties of 174 Australian timbers. Division of Forest Products Technological paper no.25. Commonwealth scientific and

industrialResearch Organization, Australia.

- -Bryce JM. (1967). Commercial timbers of Tanzania. Tanzania Forest Division. Utilization section. Moshi, Tanzania, 139p.
- Duncan, D. B. (1955). Multiple Range and multiple F. tests. Biometrics, 11: 1-42.
- -IAWA Committee. 1989. IAWA list of microscopic features for hardwood identification. IAWA Bull. n.s. 10(3): 221–332.).
- -Iglesias, T.G. and Wilstermann,D.(2008). Eucalyptus universals. Globalcultivated eucalypt forests map 2008. In GIT Forestry Consultant's Eucalypt ologics.Retrieved from http://git – forestry.com/download
- -Lima, J.T.; Silva, J.R.M.; Vieira, R.S. (2008).Aproveitamento de resíduosgerados no processamento da madeira de eucalipto. In: Oliveira, J.T.S.; Fiedler, N.C.;Nogueira TecnologiasAplicadasaoSetorMadeireiro III.JerônimoMonteiro, ES, I Simcatem, III,Chapter 10, p. 255-290.
- -Louppe D, AA Oteng-Amoako, M Brink (eds). 2008. Plant Resources of Tropical Africa 7(1).Timbers 1. PROTA Foundation Wageningen, Netherlands/ CTA, 704pp.
- -Myburg; A.A., Potts; B, Marques; CM, Kirst; M, Gion; JM and GrattapagliaD, Grima –

Pettenati, J. (2006).Eucalyptus. In: Kole C (ed) Genome Mapping & Molecular Breeding in Plants Volume 7: Forest Trees. Springer, pp in press.

- -Ogunsanwo,O.Y.(2000).Characteristic of wood properties of Plantation grown *Triplochiton sclroxylon*inOmo forest reserve, Ogun State. Nigeria. PhD Thesis. University of Ibadan.
- -Oluwafemi, O.A. and S.O. Adegbenga, (2007). Preliminary report on utilization potential of *Gliricidiasepium*(Jacq.) stead for timber. Res.J.Forestry, 1:80.85.
- -Roitzsch-Reader J.E., (1969). Forest Trees in Iraq. University of Mosul, Iraq.
- -SAS Institute, Inc. (2002). Statistical Analysis System Ver. 9.0.SASinstitute Inc.,Cary, NC.,USA.
- -Shahbaz, S. Esmael.(2010) Trees and shrubs. A field guide to the trees and shrubs of Kurdistan region of Iraq. University of Duhok. University of Duhok Publication.
- -Taha, MA.Y.(2013).Characteristics of Sawn board Produced from *Eucalyptus Camaldulensis* Dehn. Grown in Askikelek / Kurdistan Region of Iraq .PhD Thesis, School of Forestry, Faculty of Agriculture &Forestry the University of Dohuk.Kurdistan RegionofIraq.

هندهك سالوخيّن تهكنولوژی ین دهپيّن .*Eucalyptus camaldulensis* Denh داهيّنانكری ین ئهوين ل خهبات شوين بوی ل ههريّما كوردستانیّ عيراق

## پوخته:

ئەڭ فەكولىنە سەرەدەرىي دىگەل كار پىكەرى قەچەمىنى (Wood density) و(Static bending) مشتاتيا دارا Eucalyptus camaldulensis بو سالوخىن دەپىن داھىنانكرى ھاتبوكرن. ئەڭ چەندە دىگەل غونەين قالە ژ خرابيا و ستاندارد ھاتبوكرن. دەپىن بريكا ھەوايى ھشكببون كىيمترين چەنداتى (V٤٩.۱۱۷kg/cm<sup>2</sup>) ژكار پىكەرى قەچەمينى توماركربون دەمى بەراورد دىگەل رىكىن تەنورى (ما٢.٢٦٧kg/cm<sup>2</sup>) وھەتاڤى (٧٤٩.۱٥.٢٩) ژكار پىكەرى ھاتيەكرن ئىك لدويڤ ئىك. ھەروەسا دەپىن شيوازى تەنورى (ما٢.٢٦٧kg/cm<sup>2</sup>) وھەتاڤى (٧٤٩.٥٠٠ ھاتيەكرن ئىك لدويڤ ئىك. ھەروەسا دەپىن شيوازى تەنورى (kg/cm<sup>2</sup>) مەتبون كىيمترين ئاست توماركر دەمى بەراورد كرنى دىگەل شيوازى راست(N.٤٦٧kg/cm<sup>2</sup>). دىسان دەپىن خودان ستويراتيا بىم كىيمترين جەنداتى (kg/cm<sup>2</sup> ، دەمىزارى دەمى بەراورد دىگەل رىكىين شيوازى تەركى بەراورد دىمى بەراورد كرنى دىگەل شيوازى راست(kg/cm<sup>2</sup>) ، دىسان دەپىين خودان ستويراتيا بىم كىيمترين چەنداتى (kg/cm<sup>2</sup> ، دەمىزارى بەراورد دىگەل ( دىسان دەپىين خودان ستويراتيا بىم كىيمترين ئاستىين دىتر ئىسم(دەمىزى بەراورد دىگەل ( دىسان دەپىين خودان ستويراتيا بىم كىيمترين ئاستىين دىتر ئىگەل مەرزى بەراورد دىگەل ( دىسەر بىزى بەرلورد دىگەل بەراورد كىنى دىتر ئىسم(دەپىن بەرلەرد بەراورد دىگەل ( دىسەن دەپىن خودان ستويراتيا بىم كىيمترين يېمنورد يېرى ئاستى بەرلى دەپىن بەراورد دىگەل ( دەپىن خودان ستويراتيا بىمەن كەرلەرد دىگەل چەنداتى دىتر ئىسم(دەر ئىراسەر ئەرلەرد بەراورد دىگەل ( دىسەر ئەردى ئەلەر ئەين ئەلىرى ئەردىمى ئەرلەرد دىگەل ئىلىرى

دراسة بعض الصفات التكنولوجية لالواح النشرلاشجاراليوكالبتوس النامية في منطقة خبات –اقليم كوردستان العراق الخلاصة

تم دراسة تاءثيركثافة و معامل الانحناء لخصائص الالواح اليوكاليتوس. تم استعمال النماذج المناسبة وخالية من العيوب.الالواح المجففة هوائيا(٧٤٩.١١٧kg/cm²) سجلوا اقل قيمة لمعامل الانحناء مقارنة مع المجففة بالفرن(٨١٢.٢٦٧kg/cm²) والشمسى (٧٤٩.١٩٧kg/cm²)على التوالي.مع ذلك، الالواح المنشورة بطريقة الشعاعي سجلوا اقل مستوى( ٥٨٦.٦٣٣kg/cm²) عند المقارنة مع الطريقة المماسى ( ٢٩٠٤.٢٠١٤)، بالاضافة الى الالواح ذو سمك ٢ سم حصلوا على اقل نسبة (٧٤٧.٣٦٧kg/cm²) عند المقارنة مع مستويات الاخرى بالاضافة الى الالواح ذو سمك ٢ سم حصلوا على اقل نسبة (٧٤٧.٣٦٧kg/cm²) عند المقارنة مع مستويات الاخرى أخشب على العوامل الدراسة.تمتلك اليوكالبتوس في اقليم كردستان العراق امكانية استعمالها في مجالات التقليدية و لذلك يمكن استثمارها باتباع تقنيات المناسبة في مناطق المزروعة، معامل النشر، و التجفيف لاستغلالها