DETECTION OF CPEGENE IN STOOL SAMPLES OF FOOD POISONING PATIENTS IN MOSUL/IRAQ

ALAA HUSSEIN AL-MOLA, AND AMERA MAHMOOD AL-RAWI Dept. of Biology, college of Science, University of Mosul-Iraq (Accepted for publication: June 9, 2013)

ABSTRACT

In this study, 27 stool samples collected from food poisoning patients in Mosul city were analyzed by both bacteriological standard methods and molecular methods. The bacteriological methods used for enumeration of *C*. *perfringens* while the nested PCR technique was used for detection *cpe* gene directly in stool samples in a method consists of a combination of nested PCR reaction with enrichment of the sample. Two pairs of oligonucleotide primers were used: the first primer pair amplifies a 425-bp fragment and the second pair amplifiesa199-bp within the 425-bp. Results showed that the number of *C. perfringens* were less than 10^3 cell/g gave a 199-bp amplified fragment which indicated that those samples contain *cpe* gene. When we compared nested PCR result with the number of *C. perfringens*, 7 out of 9 samples(77. 8%) which have greater than 10^3 of *C. perfringens* per g were positive for *cpe* gene and 8 out of 18 samples(44. 4%) which have less than 10^3 of *C. perfringens* per g also gave *cpe* gene positive result.

Keywords. Clostridium perfringens. Food poisoning. Nested PCR. Cpe gene.

INTRODUCTION

Clostridium perfringens, an anaerobic Grampositive bacterium is ubiquitous in the intestinal flora of human and animals, and it is also commonly isolated from environmental materials such as soil and water(Matches et al.,1974;McClane,2005) . Individual isolates of this bacterium are classified into five type (A to E), based upon their production of four toxins(alpha. beta. epsilon. and iota) al.,1999;McClaneet (Rood,1998;Petit et al.,2006). Each C. perfringens type is associated with certain human or veterinary disease. In addition ~5% of C. perfringens isolates produce another toxin, named C. perfringens enterotoxin (CPE). CPE is biomedically important, although it not part of the toxin typing classification scheme(McClane, 2007). The causative factor of C. perfringens food poisoning is an enterotoxin. It is unusual in that it is a spore-specific protein. The enterotoxin is synthesized by sporulating cells in association with the late stages of sporulation(Jay,2000). C. perfringens food poisoning is caused by the ingestion of food that contain large number of vegetative cells of cpe gene- positive C. perfringens strains usually belonging to type A. These cpe - positive cells sporulate the intestinal in tract. producingCPEwhichisresponsiveforthediarrheals ymptomsofthedisease(McClane,2001). The detection method for C. perfringens from stool or food samples is the use of selective culture followed by biochemical tests and final confirmation of entertoxigenic strains by serological analysis, enzyme-linked , Latex immunosorbent assay (ELISA) agglutination or vero cell assay for the differentiating the entertoxigenic strains from the nonentertoxigenic ones(McClane and Strouse,1984;Berry et al., 1988). However, conventional isolation of cpe + C. perfringens from the feces of affected individuals is occasionally complicated by the presence of *cpe* -C. perfringens as part of the normal microbial population found in stool(Eisgrüber and Hauner, 2001;Lukinmaaet al.,2002;Nakamura et al., 2003). The isolation of the causative agent from stool samples by direct plating is challenging, particularity if several days have passed since the onset of the illness (Nakamura et al., 2003). Miwa et al. (1996) have reported a method of nested polymerase chain reaction (nested PCR) for detecting enterotoxigenic C. perfringens in animal feces containing both enterotoxigenic and nonenterotoxigenic C. perfringens. This research was aimed to use rapid detection and identification of enterotoxigenic C. perfringens strains directly from human stool samples which represents the first report in Mosul city- Iraq about this subject.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

Stool samples:

The stool samples were collected in sterile containers and separated into two portions. One portion was used immediately for bacteriological analysis while the second portion of each sample was stored at -70°C for nested PCR analysis.

Bacteriological analysis:

For bacteriological analysis,0. 5-1gof stool sample was diluted with (4.5-9 ml) of peptone water medium to obtain 1: 10 dilution. The diluted samples were divided into three portions. The first portion was heated at 80°C for 10 min, the second one was heated at 100°C for 30 min while the third portion was not subjected to any treatment.

For each portion, serial dilution were prepared in peptone water medium. One ml of each dilution for the three portions was placed in a sterile petri dish and then 10-15 ml of selective media was added (TSC agar, SPS agar and TSN agar) and allowed to solidify under anaerobic condition. Then, solidified agar was overlaid with a further 10 ml of selective media. The plates were incubated anaerobically by using anaerogen (oxoid) in 37 and 46°C for 24-48 hours. After incubation, the sulfite- reducing clostridium cells were counted. Colonies surrounded by the characteristic black precipitate were identified biochemically (By using lactose fermentation, nitrate reduction, gelatinase production and motility test).

Oligonucleotide primers:

Two pairs of oligonucleotides were used as described by Miwa et al. (1996) on the basis of DNA sequence of the cpe gene(Van Damme-Jongsten et al., 1989). These primers were obtained from a commercial source (Biocorp, Canada). The first PCR primers, Primer 1 sequence (5⁻ACAGGTACCTTTAGCCAATC-(5'-3')and primer 2 sequence AATCTTTCTGTAGCAGCAGC-3'). The second PCR primers (nested primers), primer 3 sequence (5'-GGATTTGGAATAACTATAGG-3').and (5'primer 4 sequence CTGCAGATGTTTTACTAAGC-3'). The first PCR primers used to amplify a 425-bp fragment while the nested primers were used to amplify a 199-bp fragment within the 425-bp fragment.

Primers preparation:

The stock solution for each primer was prepared in a concentration of 100 pmoles / μ l by adding sterile distilled water depending on the concentration for each primer. Later, the stock 415 solution was diluted to obtain the work solution in the final concentration 400nM.

Template preparation and enrichment procedure

DNA was extracted from stool samples depending on the enrichment procedure described by Miwa *et al.* (1996).

First PCR amplification:

The PCR reaction mixture was prepared in a final volume 50 μ l by using kappa Taq Ready Mix DNA polymerase (Kapa biosystems, USA) as shown in table 1. DNA was denatured for 2 min at 95°C and amplified for 25 cycles (20 sec at 94°C, 60 sec at 55°C, 90 sec at 72°C for denaturation, annealing and extension phases , respectively) and followed by final extension for 10 min at 72°C with athermal cycler (Mastercycler personal ,Germany).

Table1: Components of PCR reaction.

Components	Volume
2x reading Mix with Mg2+	25µl
Fwdprimer	2µl
Rev primer	2µl
Template	10µlFor first PCR
	5 µlFor second PCR
Water	Up to 50µl

Second (Nested) PCR amplification:

The reaction mixture and amplification condition were the same as those described in the first PCR reaction except the primer 1 and 2 were replaced by 3 and 4 and 5μ l of DNA sample which is the products of the first PCR amplification was used as a template in the second amplification reaction.

Electrophoresis:

PCR Products were separated by gel electrophoresis using 1. 5% (w/v) agarose gel and ethidium bromide staining. PCR products in a volume 10 μ l were subjected to electrophoresis for 60-90 min at 100 v. Amplified band were visualized and photographed under UV illumination

RESULTS:

The results of the present study revealed that the number of *C. perfringens* as determined by the standard bacteriological method were less than 10^3 bacteria per g for 18 samples out of 27 stool samples and greater than 10^3 bacteria per g for 9 samples (Figure 1).

DNA samples were extracted from 27 stool samples and were amplified by nested PCR technique. Fifteen of 27 stool samples(55. 6%) have been contained *cpe* gene and yielded amplified fragment of 199-bp fragment when compared with the DNA marker (Figure 2). Seven of 9 samples (77. 8%) have *C. perfringens* greater than 10^3 bacteria per g and the nested

PCR confirmed that those samples contain

enterotoxigenic*C. perfringens* while 8 of 18samples (44. 4%) which have *C. perfringens*lessthan 10^3 bacteriaperg have shown to be enterotoxigenic *C. perfringens* depending in the nested PCR technique (Figure 1).



Nested PCR





Figure 2: Agarose gel electrophoresis showing *cpe* **199-bp products of nested PCR assay** M: molecular size markers . C:negative control Lanes:1, 2, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13*cpe* positive results Lanes: 3, 4, 5,6 and 8*cpe* negative results

Discussion:

The results of this study showed that the nested PCR is a useful technique for the analysis of clinical samples. Enterotoxigenic C. perfringens was detected directly in 15 of 27 stool samples from patients suffering from food poisoning. The probability of cpe positive result was increased as the number of C. perfringens cells from stool samples increased. In 9 samples which have C. perfringens 10^3 >the cpe positive samples is 77. 8%, comparing with the 18 samples group which $perfringens < 10^3$ have С. and cpegenewasdetectedin44. 4%. This result agree with those of Fach and Popoff (1997) in which 1 of 4 stool samples(25%) from food poisoning patients have C. perfringens $<10^4$ and have cpe positive result while 17 of 19 stoolsamples(89. perfringens10⁴~10⁷ 5%) have С. alsohavecpepositiveresult. The high percentage cpe positive in this study indicates of indicatesthat the enterotoxigenic С. a relationship *perfringens*has with food poisoning in these patients because the cpe + strains were rarely present in healthy population. Sunagawaet al. (1987) and Monmaet al. (1994) reported that the cpe + isolates are rare in the healthy people, while lahtiet al. (2008) reported a higher rate (91%) of C. perfringens carried cpe which were isolated from food poisoning outbreaks. Traditionally, a spore count of $>10^6/g$ in stool samples of patients have been regarded as the criteria for diagnosis (APHA, 1985) .However, the carriage of C. perfringens in the stool varied considerably between individuals (Stringer et al., 1985) where spore count of $>10^6$ /g may be found in stool samples taken from institutionalized well patients or healthy people (Yamagishiet al., 1976). The quantitative fecal spore counts and biochemical testing of several colonies after secondary subculture also led intensive, high cost and timeconsuming(Transuphasiri, 2001). The prescence of the *cpe* gene or CPE production by isolates is normally carried out only in diagnostic procedures of food poisoning investigations (Smedley and McClane, 2004; Le Marcet al.,2008)

Detecting the enterotoxigenicity offecal *C. perfringens*isolatedbyserologicassaytodemonstra teitsabilitytoproduce CPE in vitro is helpful in epidemiological investigations of *C. perfringens*food poisoning outbreaks (Transuphasiri, 2001). However, this phenotypic assay may produce false negative result because CPE expression is associated with sporulation 417

clinical С. of and many isolates perfringenssporulate and produce CPE invivo but cannot be induced to sporulateinvitro, so these problems in the identification of enterotoxigenic*C*. *perfringens* can be avoided by the use of PCR methods to detect the cpe gene. There are several reports of direct detection of pathogenic microorganisms in samples by PCR (Gumerlocket al., 1993; Varela et al., 1994).most of these methods require purification of DNA extracts to avoid inhibition by the substances found in the samples (Widjojoatmodjoet al.,1992;Gumerlocket al.,1993:Varela et al.,1994). Feces contain many compounds such as bilirubin and bile salts which can inhibit PCR analysis (Widjojoatmodjoet al., 1992). In a study by Ashaet al. (2002), some stool samples failed to yield cpe positive result by nested PCR, So they suggested that enrichment stool samples may be helpful in avoiding negative result. Nested PCR and enrichment culture method were used in the current study to avoid most of these problems and also to increase the DNA samples. In addition the detection of *cpe* gene directly from clinical samples by nested PCR was helped in direct detection of cpe+ strain found mixed population which in of microorganisms.

In conclusion, the enrichment nested PCR assay was a suitable method for the rapid and specific detection of enterotoxigenic*C*. *perfringens*directlyfromfoodpoisoningpatients.

Also it considered avery good method for time and cost saved compared to conventional method essentially for epidemiological investigations of food poisoning or other gastrointestinal (GI) diseases caused by cpe+C. perfringens strain.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by grant from Dept. of Biology/College of Science/University of Mosul.

We are grateful to Public Health Center/Nineveh for collecting and providing for samples and data from patients.

We thanks Al-Sanjary A. Sahera are due to her technical assistance.

REFERENCES:

AmericanPublicHealthAssociation.(1985).Clostridiumperfringensfoodpoisoning.In:BenesonAS(eds)Controlofdiseasesinman.Washington,DC:AmericanPuplicHealthAssociation,pp148-149.

- Asha, N.J., and Wilcox, M.H. (2002). Laboratory diagnosis of *Clostridiumperfringens* antibiotic-associated diarrhea. *Journal ofMedicalMicrobiology*, 51,891-894.
- Berry, P.R.,Rodhouse, J.C., Hughes, S.,Batholomew,B.A,and Gilbert, R.J. (1988).Evaluation of ELISA, RPLA and Vero cell detecting for Clostridiumperfringens assay specimens. enterotoxin feacal in JournalofClinicalPathologyl, 41, 458-461.
- Eisgrüber, H., and Hauner, G. (2001).Minead beef heart associated with a *Clostridiumperfringens* food poisoning in a Munich old people's home. *ArchLebensmihelhyg*, 52,63-66.
- Fach,P.,andPopoff,M.R.(1997).Detection of enerotoxigenic*Clostridiumperfringens* in food and fecal samples with a duplex PCR and the slide latex agglutination test. *Applied and EnvironmentalMicrobiology*, 63,4232-4236.
- Gumerlock, P.H., Tang, Y.J., Weiss, J.B., and Sliva, J.Jr. (1993). Specific detection of toxigenic strains of *Clostridiumdefficile*in stool specimens. *JournalofClinicalMicrobiology*, 31,507-511.
- Jay, J.M. (2000).Modern Food Microbiology(6thed.).Gaithersburg:AspenPuplishers
- Lahti, P., Heikinheimo, A., Johansson, T., and Korkeala, H. (2008). *Clostridiumperfringens* type A strains carrying a plasmid-borne enterotoxin gene (genotype IS1151-cpe or IS1470-like-cpe) as a common cause of food poisoning .Journalof Clinical Microbiology, 46,371-373.
- Le Marc, Y., Plowman, J., Aldus, C.F., Munoz-Cuevas, M., Baranyi,J.,and Peck, M.W. (2008).Modelling the growth of *Clostridiumperfringens* during the cooling of bulk meat.*InternationalJournalofFoodMicrobiology* ,128,41-50.
- Lukinmaa, S., Takkunen,E.,andSiitonen, A. (2002). Molecular epidemiology of *Clostridiumperfringens* related to food-borne outbreaks of disease in Finland from 1984 to 1999.*AppliedandEnvironmentalMicrobiology*,68,37 44-3749.
- Matches, J.R.,Liston,T.,andCurran, D. (1974). *Clostridiumperfringens* in the environment. *AppliedMicrobiology*, 28,655-660.
- McClane, B.A. (2005).Clostridialenterotoxins. In: P .Dure(Eds),Handbook on Clostridia (pp. 385-406). BocaRaton:CRC Press.
- McClane, B.A., Uzal,F.A., Miyakawa,M.F.,Lyerly,D.,and Wilkins, T. (2006).The enterotoxic Clostridia. In:M .Dworkin,S.Falkow,E. Rosenburg,K.H.Schlcifer&E .Stackebrandt(Eds),The Prokaryotes,vol4(pp 698-752). New York:Springer-Verlag.
- McClane, B.A. (2001). *Clostridiumperfringens*. In: M.P. Doyle, L.R. Beuchat&T.J. Montville (Eds), Food

Microbiology:FundamentalsandFrontiers,2nded(pp351-37).ASMPress,Washington,D. C.ASM

- McClane,B.A.(2007) *Clostridiumperfringens*. In : M.P. Doyle & L.R. Beuchat (Eds),Food Microbiology: Fundamentals and Frontiers,3rded (pp433-444). ASM Press,Washington,D. C..
- McClane, B.A., and Strouse, R.J. (1984). Rapid detection of *Clostridiumperfringens* type A enterotoxin by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. *JournalofClinicalMicrobiology*, 19,112-115.
- Miwa, N., Nishina, T. , Kubo,S. ,and Fujikura, K. (1996).Nested polymerase chain reaction for detection of low levels of enterotoxigenic*Closridiumperfringens* in animal feces and meat . *Journal ofVeterinaryMedical Science*,58,197-203.
- Monma, C., Yanagawa, Y., Kusunoki, J., Kai, A., Shingaki, M., Obata, H., Itoh, T., Ohta, K., and Kudoh, Y. (1994). Serotyping and enterotoxin production of heat-resistant spore-forming *Clostridiumperfringens* isolated from human feces. *Annual Report* of Tokyo Metropolitan Research Laboratory of Puplic Health, 45, 11-15.
- Nakamura,H., Ogasawara,J., Monna, C., Suzuki,A.H., Kai,A.,Haruki,K.,andNishikawa, Y. (2003).Usefulness of a combination of pulsed-field gel electrophoresis and enrichment culture in laboratory invetigation of foodborne outbreak due to *Clostridiumperfringens*. *DiagnosticMicrobiology andInfectiousDisease*,47,471-475.
- Petit, L.,Gilbert,M.,andPopoff, M.R. (1999) .*Clostridiumperfringens*: toxinotype and genotype. *Trends in Microbiology*, 7,104-110.
- Rood, J.I. (1998).Virulance genes of *Clostridiumperfringens*.

AnnualReviewofMicrobiology, 52, 333-360.

- Smedley, J.G. III,McClane, B.A. (2004).Fine mapping of the N-terminal cytotoxicity region of *Clostridiumperfringens* enterotoxin by site-directed mutagenesis. *InfectionandImmunity*,72,6914-6923.
- Stringer, M.F., Watson, G.N., Gilbert, R.J., Wallace, J.G., Hassall, J.E., Tanner, E.I., and Webbe, P.P. (1985).Fecal carriage of *Closridiumperfringens.TheJournalofHygiene*,95,27 7-288.
- Sunagawa,H.,Takeshi, K.,Kameyama,K.,and Ando,Y. (1987).Incidence of *Clostridiumperfringens* in human feces and enterotoxin production and sporgermination of the isolates. *JournaloftheFoodHygienicSocietyofJapan*,28,119-124.

Tansuphasiri, U. (2001).Development of duplex PCR assay for rapid detection of enterotoxigenic isolates of *Clostridiumperfringens*. *TheSoutheastAsianJournalofTropicalMedicineandP ublicHealth*, 32,105-113.

418

- Van Damme-Jongsten, M., Warnars, K., and Notermans, N. (1989).Cloning and sequencing of the *Clostridiumperfringens* enterotoxin gene. *AntonievanLeevenhoek*,56,181-190.
- Varela, P.,Pollevick, G.D., Rivas, M.,Chinen, I., Binsztein, N.,Frasch, A.C.C., and Ugalde, R.A. (1994).Direct detection of *vibriocholera* in stool samples. *JournalofClinicalMicrobiology*,32,1246-1248.

Widjojoatmodjo, M.N. ,Fluit, A.C. ,Torensma, R. ,Verdonk,

G.P.H.T. ,and Verhoef, J. (1992). The magnetic immune polymerase chain reaction assay for direct detection of *Salmonella* in fecal samples. *JournalofClinicalMicrobiology*, 30, 3195-3199.

Yamagishi, T., Serikawa, T.,Morita, R.,Nakamura, S., and Nishida, S. (1976).Persistent high numbers of *Clostridiumperfringens* in the intestines of Japanese aged adults. *Japanese Journal of Microbioolgy*, 20,397-403.

الخلاصة:

تضمنت الدراسة تحليل بكتريولوجي وجزيئي ل 27 عينة خروج من مرضى التسمم الغذائي في مدينة الموصل حيث استخدم التحليل البكتريولوجي لغرض تعداد *C.perfringens* بينما استخدمت تقنية Nested PCR لغرض التحري المباشر عن جين *cpe* في العينات بالاعتماد على طريقة تجمع بين تفاعل Nested PCR مع طريقة الاغناء للعينات . استخدم زوجين من البادئات : يضخم الزوج الاول من البادئات قطعة بحم مع – 425 ويضخم الزوج الثاني قطعة بحم مع – 425 من القطعة (من البادئات قطعة بحم مع مع الزوج الثاني قطعة بحم مع مع البادئات . استخدم زوجين من البادئات : يضخم الزوج الاول من البادئات قطعة بحم مع – 425 ويضخم الزوج الثاني قطعة بحم مع – 425 من القطعة (من البادئات قطعة بحم مع – 425 ويضخم الزوج الثاني قطعة بحم مع – 425 من القطعة البادئات : يضخم الزوج الثاني قطعة بحم مع – 425 ويضخم الزوج الثاني قطعة بحم مع مع المع المع العلام من المادئات قطعة بحم مع مع من المادئات : يضخم الزوج الثاني قطعة بحم مع من القطعة (من البادئات : يضخم الزوج الثاني قطعة بحم مع مع من القطعة البادئات : يضخم الزوج الاول من البادئات قطعة بحم مع مع الزوج الثاني قطعة بحم مع مع المع المادئات : يضخم المادئات تقطعة بحم مع من المادئات : يضخم الزوج الثاني قطعة بحم مع من القطعة البادئات : يضخم الزوج الاول من البادئات قطعة بحم مع مع الزوج الثاني قطعة بحم مع مع الماد من القطعة (من من العدائية ان عدد على معد مع مع مع مع من الماد من القطعة (من ماد مالغانية ان عدد الله من أول ما أول من أول ما أول من أول من أول من أول من أول من أول ما أول من أول ماد مع معداد جرثومة مع معداد جرثومة مع معداد الماد من بعموع 19 عينات من بعموع 19 عينات من بعموع 18 عينات من بعموع 18 عينات من بعموع 18 من من أول من بنسبة (7.8%) من من مع مي من من من من بعموع 18 عينات من بعموع 18 من أول من معداد مرثومة مع معداد حرثومة مع مع معداد مرثومة مع معداد ماد مع معداد ماد مع مع معداد أول من أول من من من من بعموع 18 عينات من بعموع 18 عينات من من مام مع معداد مرثومة مع مي من مي مي من من من مادم مي مع مي ماد ماد م