RESPONSE OF NUTRIENT BALANCE INDEX IN Triticum aestivum L TO MYCORRHIZAL INOCULATION AND PHOSPHORUS FERTILIZER

Dalshad Azeez Darwesh and Sakar Abdulqadir Sahid

Environmental science department, College of Science, University of Salahaddin-Erbil- Kurdistan region-Iraq (Accepted for publication: June 9, 2013)

ABSTRACT

A pot experiment was conducted in green house of college of Science, University of Salahaddin Erbil, to study the effect of two levels $(0, 20g.pot^{-1})$ of mycorrhizal inoculation, four levels $(0.0, 25, 50, 75kg.dounm^{-1})$ of phosphorus fertilizer and their combination on nutrient balance index in *Triticum aestivum* L. Experiment was laid out in completely randomized design (CRD) with three replicates. The results indicate that the lowest nutrient balance index (0.833) was recorded from combination treatment (M_1P_2) compared to control (64.008). The DRIS index ranged from (-14.137 to +14.137) was considered adequate value without mycorrhizal inoculation. Whereas, the DRIS index with mycorrhizal inoculated treatment ranged from (-26.601 to +26.601) was considered adequate value.

KEYWORDS: Mycorrhiza, Phosphorus, DRIS and Wheat.

INTRODUCTION

Wheat is the main cereal crop in Iraq and constitutes the main food in the country, particularly the north region which has a total cultivation area. Itis average and total production are not consistently increasing to meet up the growing demand of Iraq population, thus a special attention should be given to produce higher yield, due to increase the population in the world on one hand and rise of price on the other hand. Mycorrhizal fungi are an important symbiotic relationship between fungi and plant roots, whereas the mycorrhazal infection enhan- ces plant growth, yield, and nutrient uptake like phosphate, especially when phosphate and other immobile nutrients are in short supply, they may also be beneficial when in short supply. However water such mycorrhizae are of a great agronomic and ecological significance (Khanamet al., 2006; Li,2005 and Li et al.,2005). In many agriculture production system, phosphorus has been identified as the most efficient essential nutrient after nitrogen, Plant analysis can be a useful tool for correcting plant nutrient deficiencies and imbalances, optimizing crop production and for evaluating fertilizer requirements(Baldock and Schulte, 1996). (DRIS) is a recent approach for interpreting plant tissue analysis. The DRIS index scale that results from those calculations is continuous and easy to be understood (Walworth et al., 1986). This model is designed to determine when the nutrient contents of crops are excessive (positive indices), adequate (zero indices) or deficient (negative indices). The DRIS proposed by Beaufils (1973) has been used to determine the balance of N, P, K and S in wheat plant .Also many investigators has been studied DRIS approach like, Hanson, (1981); Sevenson et al., (1988); Mackay et al., (1987); Al-Khafaji, (1993); Caldwell et al., (1994); Soltanpour et al., (1995) and Esmailet al., (1999); Sultan (2005) and Darwesh, (2007). The calcareous soil are wide spread throughout the world involved Kurdistan region soils, thus the availability of phosphorus in these soil is low due to the high calcium carbonate (CaCO₃) content which led to chemical fixation of phosphorus. However, the studies on establishment norms of wheat crop under mycorrhiza inoculation and phosphorus fertilization in Kurdistan region are very rare, thus the objectives of this study are to evaluate norm of wheat crops in addition to determine balance of wheat nutrient index under mycorrhiza and phosphorus fertilization..

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This research was carried out in the glasshouse of the College of Science, University of Salahaddin-Erbil, to study the effect of mycorrhizal inoculation and different levels of phosphorus fertilizer on nutrient balance index in Triticum aestivum L by used modified DRIS equation. The plastic pots with diameter of 40cm and 20cmin height were used in this experiment, each pot packed with 20kg of air-dried soil. The sandy loam soil was collected from \Askikalak location, the soil sieved through 4 mm sieves, then sterilized by microwave oven in high power (1100w) which described by Razavidarbar, and Lakzain ,(2007). Some physical and chemical properties of the soil were recorded in table (1). These soil properties were determined according

to methods described by Allen,(1976)and Black,(1965). Before planting, wheat grains were surface-sterilized in 3% sodium hypochlorite solution for 10 minutes and then soaked in distilled water for 12hours Li, (2005), then eleven grains were planting in each pot after germination thinned to five. For preparing the mycorrhizal inoculation the seeds of Vicia faba was sown in the field and irrigated four times in a week, after growing the roots of faba bean producing were taken for mycorrhizal inoculation. The roots were washed with water to remove the remaining adhering soil particles, then cut in to 1cm segments then mixed with sterilized soil after emphasized the present of mycorrhizal infection, each pot received 20 g.pot⁻¹ of mycorrhazal inoculation .(Mustafa, 2000). The infection of root was confirmed, by examine the root after clearing it by 10% KOH for 24 hours, then add to 10% HCl for 10 minutes after that washed it by tap water and stained with trypan blue in lacto glycerol, which described by Phillips, and Hayman (1970). The microscopic examination under (10x and 40x) explain that the mycorrhizal fungi was classified under vesicular-arbascular mycorrhizal fungi (VAMF). Fertilizers treatments consist of four levels (0, 25, 50,75 kg.dounm⁻¹) of super phosphate, and two level (0,20g.pot⁻¹) of mycorrhizal inoculation.Mycorrhizal inoculation was applied to each pot with sowing. Phosphorus fertilizer was applied ten days after germination. The fertilizer was evenly mixed with the soil. The factorial experiment was laid out in a complete randomized design (CRD) with three replicates. At harvest the plants were cut at soil surface from each pots, placing in weighted bag oven dried at (65)⁰C for (48) hours and after that immediately the dry weight was obtained. Plant samples were taken at the tillering stage, samples were dried at 70°C for 48 h. After weighting and grinding with stainless steel mill, the samples were stored for chemical analysis. Plant samples were digested according to Schuffelen and Vanschaunou-wenburg .(1961) by using (1:1con. H_2SO_4 and H_2O_2).The N content was measured by the micro-Kjeldahl digestion method of Bremner and Mulvaney (1982). The P content was determined by the molybdenum blue colorimetric method of Black,(1965).. Calcium, Mg, Fe and Zn were determined by atomic absorption, the flame photometric method of Allen,(1976).was used to determined K and Na. Data was statistically analyzed by the computer using SPSS program. The pair comparisons were performed by least significant difference test (L.S.D) and Tukey's MSD produced at 5% and 1% level of probability (Steele and Torrie ,1969).

 Table (1): Some physical and chemical properties of soil under study:

physical properties			
Particle Size Distribution	Sand	638.14	
g.kg	Silt	295.18	
	Clay	66.68	
Soil texture	Sandy loam		
Saturation%	29.50		
Field capacity%	14	.58	
Chemical properties			
рН	7.94		
ECe dS.m ⁻¹	0.70		
Organic matter g.kg ⁻¹	8.23		
Total CaCO ₃ g.kg ⁻¹	270		
Total nitrogen g.kg ⁻¹	0.290		
Total phosphorus g.kg ⁻¹	0.118		
Available phosphorus mg.kg ⁻¹	2.78		
Cation mmol.L ⁻¹			
Potassium	0.	.60	
Iron	0.0097		
Calcium	2.01		
Magnesium	0.76		
Sodium	0.	.82	

The DRIS indices were calculated by using the following index equations by Hallmark *etal.*, (1987):-

$$NIndex = \frac{f(N/P) + f(N/K) + f(N/Ca) + f(N/Mg) + f(N/Fe) + f(N/DM)}{n}$$

$$P Index = \frac{-f(N/P) + f(P/K) + f(P/Ca) + f(P/Mg) + f(P/Fe) + f(P/DM)}{n}$$

$$K Index = \frac{-f(N/K) - f(P/K) + f(K/Ca) + f(K/Mg) + f(K/Fe) + f(K/DM)}{n}$$

$$Ca Index = \frac{-f(N/Ca) - f(P/Ca) - f(K/Ca) + f(Ca/Mg) + f(Ca/Fe) + f(Ca/DM)}{n}$$

$$Mg Index = \frac{-f(N/Mg) - f(P/Mg) - f(K/Mg) - f(Ca/Mg) + f(Mg/Fe) + f(Mg/DM)}{n}$$

$$Fe Index = \frac{-f(N/Fe) - f(P/Fe) - f(K/Fe) - f(Ca/Fe) - f(Mg/Fe) + f(Fe/DM)}{n}$$

$$DM Index = \frac{-f(N/DM) - f(P/DM) - f(K/DM) - f(Ca/DM) - f(Mg/DM) - f(Fe/DM)}{n}$$

f(X/Y) = (X/Y / x/y - 1)*100k/CVx/y if $X/Y \ge x/y$ f(X/Y) = (1 - x/y / X/Y)*100k/CVx/y if $x/y \ge X/Y$

X and Y = nutrients, n- number of ratios, x/y- mean for X/Y, in reference population (high-yielding treatment). CV(x/y) - coefficient of variation for x/y, in reference population (high-yielding group).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table (2) shows that the combination mycorrhizal inoculation between and phosphorus fertilizer affected significantly $(P \le 0.01)$ the nitrogen, phosphorus, calcium, magnesium and potassium concentration, While affected non- significantly (P≥0.01) sodium concentration. Result showed that the highest values (27.376, 43.139 mg.g⁻¹), (3.717, 3.896 mg.g⁻¹) and (28.925, 3.842 mg.g⁻¹) of (nitrogen, (phosphorus, magnesium) calcium). and (potassium, sodium) were recorded in treatments (M_1P_2) , (M_1P_1) and (M_1P_3) respectively. While the lowest values (11.926, 1.189 mg.g⁻¹),(18.796, 22.060 mg.g^{-1})and(2.348, 1.595 mg.g^{-1}) of (nitrogen, phosphorus), (potassium, calcium) and (sodium. magnesium) were recorded in treatments $(M_0P_0),$ (M_0P_2) and $(M_0P_3,$ M_0P_1)respectively. The result indicated that the highest values of nutrient concentration in all phosphorus level were recorded in mycorrhizal plant. This result could be explained on the ground that the addition of mycorrhizal inoculation with convenient rates of phosphorus fertilizer increase the nutrient content of plant, via enhancing the root growth and increasing the root hair length, beside increase the root surface area, mycorrhizal hyphae may also get into smaller soil pores and compete effectively with other microorganism to obtain nutrient. This result partially agreed with that recorded by Gaddet al., (2006). However the present result confirm that wheat largely depending on the mycorrhizal symbiosis for uptake the nutrient particularly P, Mg and N for achievement the normal growth under normal soil nutrient condition, the increased concentration of P in plant paralleled the increase in plant dry matter production, suggesting that P was element limiting plant growth (Stewart, 2000) and Mohammad et al., (2004).in addition the DRIS nutrient analysis interpretation system in figure (3) indicate clearly that the major effect of inoculation with mycorrhiza on plant growth was through an improved nutrient uptake, as well as the application of different level of phosphorus fertilizer to calcareous soil rapidly become unavailable by producing poorly soluble component, the plant root unable to absorb it, the application of mycorrhizal inoculation with phosphorus fertilizer reduced this problem by producing the symbiosis association with plant and increase availability through root solublisation of low available phosphates by lowering pH or excreting organic acid, which increase the effectiveness of exudates for nutrient mobilization not only phosphorus but also micronutrient.

	Nutrients concentration (mg.g ⁻¹)					
Treatments	Ν	Р	Ca	Mg	К	Na
M ₀ P ₀	11.926	1.189	31.435	1.834	21.315	2.449
M ₀ P ₁	15.239	2.228	24.421	1.595	21.477	3.523
M_0P_2	11.968	2.978	22.060	1.684	18.796	2.449
M ₀ P ₃	14.883	2.973	32.870	1.755	19.717	2.348
M ₁ P ₀	24.262	2.537	35.222	3.823	27.788	3.053
M ₁ P ₁	26.210	3.717	32.792	3.896	28.654	3.120
M_1P_2	27.376	3.157	43.139	3.809	27.625	2.415
M ₁ P ₃	22.399	3.139	36.681	3.774	28.925	3.824
Tukye's(0.01)	6.172	1.775	9.319	0.448	4.2237	1.776

 Table (2): Combination effect of mycorrhizal inoculation and phosphorus fertilizer on some nutrient concentration of

 Triticumaestivum L.

The nutrient status of plant was assessed by comparing nutrient concentration data with the nutrient indices derivate from the diagnosis and recommendation integrated system, nutrient analysis by DRIS are based on comparison of ratios of element concentration in the experimental samples with the same ratio from high yield, population. Because of it is reliance on nutrient ratios, the use of DRIS minimizes morphogenic and genotypic effects on the accuracy of deficiency diagnoses, predicts which nutrient is a limiting factor, and provides an expression for nutrient balance. The lower the sums (irrespective of sign) of the indices, mean the best nutrient balance. Plant nutrient responses to mycorrhizal fungi are evaluated to permit the selection of mycorrhizal fungi both for their effect on the alleviation of specific nutrient deficiencies and for their compatibility with a host plant species or cultivar. The combination effect of mycorrhizal inoculation and phosphorus fertilizer on nutrient indices and nutrient balance indices (NBI) of wheat Triticum

aestivum L. were recorded in the table (3). Means value of data revealed that the lowest nutrient balance index were recorded from combination treatment (M_1P_2) and attained (0.833) compared to control (64). The nitrogen and phosphorus index increase from (-0.629and -28.882) to (-0.154 and 0.112), while calcium, magnesium, potassium and sodium index decreased from (1.742, 18.104, 9.938, and 2.220) to (-0.052, -0.053, -0.045 and 0.461) respectively. The figures (1) shows the combination effect of mycorrhizal inoculation and phosphorus fertilizer on relationship between N, P, Ca, and Mg index and their concentration in leaf, the optimum balance of nutrient (N:-0.154, P:-0.112, Ca:-0.052, and Mg:-0.053) index were produced by application of mycorrhizal inoculation with 50kg.dounm⁻¹ of phosphorus fertililzers. This results confirm that the colonization of roots by the colonization also resulted in better balance of the nutrient of wheat, as shown by the lower sum of DRIS index values for the mycorrhizal plants.

 Table (3): Combination effect of mycorrhizal inoculation and phosphorus fertilizer on some nutrient indices, nutrient balance indices (NBI) and total dry matter of *Triticum aestivum* L.

Nutrient Index									
Treatments	Ν	Р	Ca	Mg	Κ	Na	TDW	NDI	TDW
	Index	Index	Index	Index	Index	Index	index	INDI	$(g.Pot^{-1})$
M_0P_0	-0.629	-28.882	1.742	18.104	9.938	2.220	-24.932	64.008	24.342
M_0P_1	-0.938	-8.711	-0.679	-1.019	8.330	2.429	5.865	22.692	40.527
M_0P_2	-3.680	18.006	-1.141	-18.427	2.119	1.120	20.035	46.495	39.896
M_0P_3	-2.139	0.513	0.585	-5.678	4.559	1.530	6.296	15.634	39.070
M_1P_0	0.281	-49.651	0.094	44.864	4.115	1.418	-11.210	101.544	37.227
M_1P_1	-1.214	-18.983	-1.591	18.729	0.905	2.684	-5.291	44.635	38.035
M ₁ P ₂	-0.154	-0.112	-0.052	-0.053	-0.045	0.320	0.965	0.833	57.079
M_1P_3	-1.079	-30.460	0.225	27.740	2.173	2.763	-13.623	65.802	35.558



Figure (1): Relationship between DRIS indices of N, P, Ca, Mg and their foliar content (mg.g⁻¹) of Triticumaestivum L.

Figure (2) refers to DRIS index interpretation without mycorrhizal inoculation as affect by phosphorus fertilizer of T. aestivum L., the index of nutrient less than (-14.137)indicates to deficiency, but the nutrient index more than (+14.137) indicates to a luxury consumption of nutrient , while a DRIS index value from (-14.137 to +14.137) are considers adequate concentration. while figure (3) refers to DRIS index interpretation with mycorrhizal inoculation as affect of phosphorus fertilizer of T. aestivumL., the index of nutrient less than (-26.601) indicates to deficiency, but the nutrient index more than (+26.601) indicates to a luxury consumption of nutrient , while a DRIS index value from (-26.601 to +26.601)were considers adequate . these range of DRIS were computed by multiply the nutrients of the treatment which obtained 75% of maximum total dry matter, then the average value of nutrient balance index obtained for treatment which gave the total dry matter equal or more than this value which obtained in the high total dry matter after that this range divided by two beside adding the + and - sign to the value (Darwesh, 2007). It appears from figure (2 and 3) that the range of nutrient indices incase of the mycorrhizal inoculation more than nonmycorrhizal inoculation this may be due to the role of mycorrhizal inoculation in increasing nutrient availability for plant.

Deficient	Nutrition ratio is adequa	te Lu:	xury consumption
	-28.274	-14.137	+14.137 +28.274

Figure (2): The DRIS indices interpretation of Triticum aestivum L. without mycorrhizal inoculation .

Deficient	Nutrition ratio is adequate		Luxury consumption	
	-53.203	-26.601	+26.601	+53.203

Figure (3): The DRIS indices interpretation of Triticum aestivum L. with mycorrhizal inoculation

REFERENCES

- Al-Khafaji, S. K. M. (1993). Interrelation of magnesium with zinc and magnesium in plant nutrition and productivity of tomato and cucumber plants under heated plastic house.Ph. D. thesis.College of Agriculture.University of Baghdad.
- Allen, S. E. (1974).Chemical analysis of ecological materials. Black well scientific publication Osney Mead, Oxford : 64-214.
- Baldock, J.O,andSchulte,E.E.(1996). Plant analysis with standardized scores combines DRIS and sufficiency range approaches for corn. J of Agro. 88: 448–456.
- Beaufils, E. R. (1973). Diagnosis and recommendation integrated system (DRIS). A general scheme for experimentation and calibration based on principles developed from research in plant nutrition. Soil Science Bull.University.Natal. 1:1-132.
- Black,C.A.(1965).Method of soil analysis .Agr.Mono9Parts(1and2).Amer.Soc.Agrc.Inc. Pub.Maddison.Wisconson.USA .pp:1-1575 .
- Bremner, J.M., C.S.Mulvaney (1982).Methods of soil analysis. Part 2.Agronomy Vol. 9, 2ndEdition.Amer.J. of Soil Sci. Soci. Madison. WI pp: 595-624.
- Caldwell, J. O; M. E. Sumner and C. S. Varina (1994).Development and testing of preliminary foliar DRIS norms for onions.Horticulture Science. 29: 1501-1504.
- Darwesh, D. A. (2007). Role of supplemental irrigation and fertilizer treatments on yield and nutrients balance in wheat by using modified DRIS. Ph. D. Thesis. College of Agriculture.University of Salahaddin/Erbil-Iraq.

- Esmail, A. O; K. K. Hamad and M. F. Yadgar (1999).Effect of Mg/Ca ratio in irrigation water on yield and quality of ckick pea.Zanko Journal. 11(2): 11-17 (in Arabic).
- Gadd, G. M; S. C. Watkinson and P. S. Dyer (2006).Fungi in the environment.Published by Cabridge University press.New York, USA: 407.
- Hallmark, W. B; C. J. deMooy and J. Pesek (1987).Comparison of two DRIS methods for diagnosing nutrient deficiencies.Journal of Fertilizers. Issues, 4(4): 150-158.
- Hanson, R. G. (1981). DRIS evaluation of N, P, K status of determinant soybeans in Brazil.Soil Science and Plant Nutrition. 12: 933-948.
- Khanam, D; M. A. U. Mridha and A. R. M. Solaiman (2006).Comparative study of arbuscularmycorrhizal association with different agricultural crops among four aezs of Bangladesh.Journal of Agricultural Research. 44 (2): 147-160.
- Li, H. (2005).Roles of mycorrhizal symbiosis on growth and phosphorus nutrition of wheat in a highly calcareous soil. Ph. D. Thesis. Schools of earth and Environmental Sciences.University of Adelaide.
- Li, H.Y; Y. G. Zhu; P. Marschner; F. A. Smith and S. E. Smith (2005). Wheat responses to arbuscularmycorrhzal fungi in highly calcareous soil differ from those of clover, and change with plant development and P supply. Plant and Soil. 277:221-232.
- Mackay, D. C; J. M. Carefoot and T. Entz (1987).Evaluation of the DRIS procedure for assessing the nutritional status of potato (*SolanumtuberosumL*.). Soil Science and Plant Analysis.18: 1331-158.

- Mohammad, A.; B. Mitra and A. G. Khan (2004).Effect of sheared root inoculums of *Glomusintaradices*on wheat grown at different phosphorus level in the field.Agriculture, Ecosystem and Enviroment. 103: 245-249.
- Mustafa, Kh. Kh. (2000). Effect of bacteria Rhizobium, mycorrhizae fungi and there interaction on some biological activities on broad bean *Viciafaba* plant. MSc. Thesis .College of Education .University of Sallahadin/Erbil – Iraq.
- Phillips, J. M. and D. S. Hayman (1970).Improved procedures for cleaning roots and staining parasitic and VA mycorrhizal fungi for rapid assessment of infection. Transactions British Mycological Society.55: 158-161.
- Razavidarbar, S. and A. Lakzain (2007). Evaluation of chemical and biological consequences of soil sterilization methods.Caspian Journal Environmental Science. 5(2): 87-91.
- Schuffelen, A.A.M and J.G.HVanschaunou--wenburg .(1961).Quick testes for soil and plant analysis used by small laboratories .Netherland J. of Agri. Sci. .9:2-16.
- Sevenson, G; A. Kimberley and M. O. Can (1988). DRIS improve diagnosis nutrient deficiency in

pinus radiate. N. Z. Journal of forest science. 18: 33-42.

- Soltanpour,P.N,M.J.Malakouti ,A .Ronaghi .(1995). Comparison of diagnosis and recommendation integrated system and nutrient sufficient range of corn. Soil Sci. Soci. ofAme. J . 59: 133– 139.
- Steele, R.G. and J.H. Torrie (1969).Principle and procedure of statistic. McGraw Hill Book Co. Inc. New York: 1-530.
- Stewart, W. M. (2000). Phosphorus fertilization of wheat.Potash and Phosphat Institute. Retrieved from website http:// osun.org/
- Sultan, M. Y. (2005). Effect of bacterial inoculation and chemical fertilizers on lentil *Lens culinaris* by using the diagnosis and recommendation integrated system (DRIS).
 Ph. D. Thesis. College of Agriculture and Forestry.University of Mosul- Iraq (in Arabic).
- Walworth, J. L, Sumner, M. E., Isaac, R. A. and Plank,C.O. (1986)Preliminary DRIS norms for alfalfa in the Southeastern United States and a comparison with the Midwest norms. Agro. J, Madison. 78: 1046-1052

كاردانەوەى لەنگەركرتنى توخمەخۆراكيەكانلە گەنمىTriticumaestivum L بۆ كوتراوى مايكۆرايزا و پەيينى فۆسفاتى

پوخته

ثم توێژینهوهیه له خانووی شووشهی کولێژی زانست،زانکوٚی سهلاٚحهددین- ههولێر ئهنجامدرا. بو زانینی کاریگهری دوو ئاست (0، 25، 50 کگم.دونم⁻¹) کاریگهری دوو ئاست (0، 25، 50، 75 کگم.دونم⁻¹) له کوتراوی مایکوٚرایزا و چوار ئاست (0، 25، 50، 75 کگم.دونم⁻¹) له پهیینی فوّسفاتی وه ههروهها تێکهل کردنیان لهسهر لهنگهرکرتنی توخمهخوّراکیهکان جوّری گهنم له پهیینی فوّسفاتی وه ههروهها تێکهل کردنیان لهسهر لهنگهرکرتنی توخمهخوّراکیهکان جوّری گهنم ، به پهینی فوّسفاتی وه ههروهها تێکهل کردنیان لهسهر لهنگهرکرتنی توخمهخوّراکیهکان جوّری گهنم ، به پهیینی فوّسفاتی وه ههروهها تێکهل کردنیان لهسهر لهنگهرکرتنی توخمهخوّراکیهکان جوّری گهنم ، به سی خار دووباره.له ئهنجامدا دهرکهوت که نزمترین بری بهلگهی هاوسهنگی توخمه خوّراکی (0.833) ، به سی جار دووباره.له ئهنجامدا دهرکهوت که نزمترین بری بهلگهی هاوسهنگی توخمه خوّراکی (0.833) تومارکرا له مامهلهی (0.833) به بهراورد بهمامهلهی کوّنترول(64). بری بهلگهی عوهمه خوّراکی (0.833) تومارکرا له مامهلهی (0.41) به بهراورد بهمامهلهی کوّنترول(64). بری بهلگهی ماوسهنگی توخمه خوّراکی (14.130) نیزوان(-14.137) به بهراورد بهمامهلهی کوّنترول(64). بری بهلگهی ماوسهنگی که دهکهویّته نیّوان(-14.137) به بهریّکی گونجاو دادهنریّت له مامهلهی بی مایکورایزا، بهلام له مامهلهی کوتراو به مایکورایزا بهلگهی گونجاو دادهنریّت له مامهلهی بی مایکورایزا، بهلام له مامهلهی کوتراو به مایکورایزا بهلگهی DRIS دهکهویّته نیّوان (-26.601) به بریّکی گونجاو دادهنریّت له مامهلهی بی مایکورایزا، بهلام له مامهلهی کوتراو به مایکورایزا بهلگهی DRIS دهکهویّته نیّوان (-26.601) به بریّکی گونجاو دادهنریّت له مامهلهی بی مایکورایزا، بهلام له مامهلهی

أستجابة دليل الأتزان الغذائي في الحنطة Triticumaestivum L للتلقيح بالمايكورايزا والسماد المتجابة دليل الأتزان الغذائي في الحنطة الفوسفاتي

الخلاصة

اجريت هذه الدراسة فى البيت الزجاجى التابعة لكلية العلوم ، جامعة صلاح الدين – أربيل، لدراسة تأثير مستويين (0، 20غم سندانة ¹)من تلقيح المايكور ايزا، أربعة مستويات (0، 25، 50، 57 كغم دونم ¹) من السماد الفوسفاتى و التداخل بينهما في ألأتزان الغذائى في . *Triticumaestivum* L وذلك باستخدام تجربة عاملية فى تصميم العشوائى الكامل و بثلاثة مكررات حيث كان ادنى دليل للأتزان الغذائي و الغذائي (0، 83) و قيمة الدليل للأتزان الغذائي في . DRIS ولين (0، 20%) و قيمة الدليل الأتزان الغذائي و مكررات حيث كان ادنى دليل للأتزان الغذائي مكررات حيث كان ادنى دليل للأتزان الغذائي (0، 23%) و قيمة الدليل الأتزان الغذائي و معاملة السيطرة (0، 23%) و تتراوح بين (-64) و قيمة الدليل DRIS) من المعاملة السيطرة (64) و قيمة الدليل الأتزان الغذائي الغذائي العذائي العذائي العذائي و التداخل بين (-64) و تعمد المعاملة (10%) معاملة السيطرة (64) و قيمة الدليل كانتزان الغذائي الغذائي الغذائي (0، 23%) و قيمة الدليل الأتزان الغذائي (0، 23%) و قيمة الدليل الأتزان الغذائي (0، 23%) و قيمة الدليل الأتزان الغذائي (0، 23%) و معاملة السيطرة (64) و قيمة الدليل الأتزان الغذائي (0، 23%) و قيمة الدليل الأتزان و بين (-14.13%) و قيمة الدليل 10%) و معاملة السيطرة (64) و قيمة الدليل 10%) و قيمة الدليل الترار و مين معاملة التقيح مايكور ايزا، قي الترار و مين معاملة التلقيح بالمايكور ايزا كانت قيمة الدليل 10%) و مين (-26.00%) و مالم