NON GENETEC PARAMETERS AND REPEATABILITY FOR MILK TRAITS GOAT IN NORTHERN IRAQ

Mevan I. Baper *, Hani N. Hermiz b

* Dept. of Animal Production, Akre Technical College, Duhok Polytechnic University, Kurdistan Region, Iraq (mevan.ibrahim@dpu.edu.krd)

b Dept. of Animal Production, College of Agriculture, University of Salahaddin, Kurdistan Region, Iraq (profdrhani59@gmail.com)

Received: Jul, 2019 / Accepted: Sept., 2019 / Published: Sept., 2019

https://doi.org/10.25271/sjuoz.2019.7.3.612

ABSTRACT:
A total of 439, 436 and 437 records of average daily milk yield (ADMY), milk yield after weaning (MYAW) and total milk yield (TMY) respectively were analyzed. Data used in this study obtained from mountain does at private project in Raselain Village/ Akre governorate Duhok governorate. The data related to two milking seasons (2016-2017 and 2017-2018). The animals were allowed to graze natural pasture and stubble, straw and ground oak acorns were providing whenever required. Does were flushed 2 weeks prior to mating and kidding season. The flock was placed on a regular health program. Does were milked by hand at biweekly intervals starting from the first week post kidding till the does are dried off. ADMY was calculated by multiplying test day milk yield * 2. While TMY calculated by multiplying ADMY by lactation period. Statistical analysis of data was carried out by using GLM procedure within SAS programme. This model includes effects of doe's age, year and season of kidding, birth's type and sex and weight of doe at kidding on milk traits. Effect of doe added to above model as a random effect to estimate their repeatability using Restricted Maximum Likelihood method. Overall means for ADMY, MYAW and TMY were 0.727, 54.49 and 129.32 kg respectively. Results showed that the age of does had significantly higher effect (P< 0.01) on all studied traits of milk. Does aged 4.5 years produce significantly higher ADMY (0.772 kg), and accordingly TMY (136.88kg), while higher MYAW recorded for does aged 3.5 years beside 4.5 years. The effectiveness of year was significant (P< 0.01) on all milk yield traits. Also season of kidding affect all studied milk traits significantly (P< 0.01), whereas the does kidding in winter had significantly higher ADMY, MYAW and TMY than those kidding in spring season. The effects of type of birth and sex of kids were significant on all milk traits. Does with female twins produced significantly more ADMY comparing with does having male or female singles only. Does with female twins and male and female twins produced significantly much MYAW. Does with female twins yield significantly higher TMY when compared to those in the other groups, on the same time there were no significant differences in TMY of does with male twin and does with male and female twin. There was no significant effect of doe weight at kidding on all studied traits and the regression coefficients were positive for ADMY (0.0003 kg/kg), and TMY (0.085 kg/kg) while the regression was negative for MYAW (- 0.0024 kg/kg). Repeatability estimates of ADMY, MYAW and TMY were 0.24, 0.31 and 0.27 respectively. It sum: due to the effectiveness of the fixed factors on the studied traits, hence adjusting records is necessary. The estimates of repeatability indicate that selection of does as well their kids depending on their milk production will improve the productivity in the next year.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Goat is widespread cosmopolitan due to its nutritional and environmental adaptability and it is one of the domesticated small ruminants as well has served humans far than cattle or sheep (Mizraei, 2011).
A goat population in Iraq estimated to be approximately 1.5 million heads, which again depends on cereal by-products and extensive pastures for feeding which is linked closely to the grazing patterns of other ruminants (FAO, 2014), as well the goat considered an important livestock in Iraq and has a significant function for the meat and milk products, especially under the systems of agriculture surviving in the country (Alkass and Juma, 2005). In many developed countries the goat's milk production is significantly important to the economy and survival (Haenlein, 2004). Fahim et al. (2013) stated that goats come in livestock as milk, meat, skin and hair. Moreover, the milk of goat is different from the milk of cow or human in higher digestibility, alkalinity, the capacity of higher buffering with certain value in medicine and nutritional of human (Park, 1994).
It is stated that local goat genotype could produce adequate milk and support growth of kids without supplement of feeding if the genotype are suited to environment condition (Cabiddu et al., 1999 and Sangare and Pandey, 2000). Goat have been reported to be more efficient milk producer than sheep, livestock and buffaloes based on their body live weight (Malau-aduli et al., 2001 and Ozung et al., 2011), because of better feed utilization efficiency, higher lactation persistency, mammary tissue comprising of greater proportion of the body weight and a more pronounced milk ejection reflex therefore, there is a need to look into the milk production potentials of goats as it relates to yield. Also, Rai et al. (2001) reported that milk production is an important feature of Mountain breed of goat which is necessary for the survival of the kids as well as provides nutrition to the goat keeper, and this is the same finding in Kurdistan region of northern Iraq depending on the results found in this study. Goats could produce much milk greater than which reported in the official statistic due to the large number of unreported...
home consumption in developing countries (Hayam et al., 2014).

Improvement of milk production in goats can arise through improvement in management, feeding regimes and through genetic improvement by selecting animals with higher genetic merit than average to be parents of the next generation, such that the average genetic merit of their progeny will be higher than the average of the parental generation (Singh and Acharya, 1982; Cameron, 1997 and Hermiz, 2001). Achieving this improvement will require to adjust the records of all data available for the non-genetic influences and take into account the heritability and repeatability of interested trait(s) as well the contribution rate of each individual in the stock, through the relationship matrix (Das et al., 1996; Freeman, 1998; Sakul et al., 1999 and Schaeffer, 2001). The accuracy of genetic evaluation of animals can be improved by evaluating animals under standard environmental conditions (Hermiz, 1998).

The aims of this study are to analyze non-genetic parameters and repeatability for milk traits of Mountain goat in local flock raised at Akre, Kurdistan Region, and to estimate the genetic parameters using an accurate method to be able to improve their productivity by breeding beside the suitable management.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data used in this study obtained from mountain does at private project in Raselain Village/ Akre Region/ Duhok governorate. The data related to two milking season (2016-2017) and (2017-2018). A total of 439, 436 and 437 records of average daily milk yield (ADMY), milk yield after weaning (MYAW) and total milk yield (TMY) respectively were analyzed. The animals were allowed to graze natural pasture and stubble, straw and ground oak acorns (Quercus aegilops) were providing whenever required. Does were flushed 2 weeks prior to mating season and 2 week prior to the kidding season. While the bucks were isolated from flock and flushed 4 week prior to mating season. The flock was placed on a regular health program including vaccination, drenching and dipping. Does were milked by hand at biweekly intervals starting from the first week post kidding till the does are dried off (less than 100 g), kids were weaned from their dams overnight (at 8:00 pm – 8:00 am) prior to milking. Average daily milk yield was calculated by multiplying test day milk yield * 2 (ICAR, 1995). While the total milk yield was calculated by multiplying test day milk yield by lactation period.

The statistical analyses of data were carried out by using General Linear Model (GLM) procedure within the statistical programme SAS (2005), the following model was used to analyze the studied traits including ADMY, MYAW and TMY:

\[ Y_{ijklmn} = \mu + A_i + R_j + S_k + T_l + b_{mn} + e_{ijklmn} \]

Where

- \( Y_{ijklmn} \): measurements on \( n^{th} \) observation;
- \( \mu \): overall mean;
- \( A_i \): effect of \( i^{th} \) age of doe (where, \( j = 2.5, 3.5, 4.5, 5.5 \) year);
- \( R_j \): effect of \( j^{th} \) year of kidding (where, \( j = 2016-2017, 2017-2018 \));
- \( S_k \): effect of \( k^{th} \) season of kidding (where, \( k = \) winter, spring);
- \( T_l \): effect of \( l^{th} \) birth's type and sex of kid (where, \( l = \) female twin, male twin, male and female twin, male single, female single);
- \( b_{mn} \): effect of the regression of doe weight at kidding;
- \( e_{ijklmn} \): random error NID (0, \( \sigma^2 e \)).

Restricted Maximum Likelihood-REML (Patterson and Thompson, 1971) method used to estimate the variance component of random effects. The effect of doe was added to the above model as a random effect in order to estimate the repeatability of the studied traits.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Overall means for ADMY, MYAW and TMY were 0.727 ± 0.004, 54.49 ± 0.39 and 129.32 ± 0.71 kg respectively (Table 1). Results attained in the current study are higher values than those of Iraqi local goat and their crosses with Damascus and Saanen bred in the middle of Iraq (Hermiz et al., 2004). While these findings were lower than those recorded earlier in Shami does bred in Erbil, Northern Iraq (Hermiz et al., 2015). Also TMY recorded in this study is lower than that of Damascus goat (214 kg) found by Mavrogenis et al. (1989) and that of native black does (136.78 kg) raised in Sulaimani – Northern of Iraq (Maoor et al., 2009). Such variation can be characteristic to a mixture of animal performance influencing genetic and environmental factors.

Results listed in first and second tables showed that the age of does had significantly higher effect (\( P < 0.01 \)) on all studied traits of milk. Does aged 4.5 years produce significantly higher ADMY (0.772 kg), and accordingly TMY (136.88kg), while higher MYAW recorded for does aged 3.5 years beside 4.5 years comparing to does with other ages. Several Studies showed that the age of the dam is consider one the important factors that affecting the test day and total milk production. (Al-Azawi et al., 2015 and AL-Dabbagh and Omarani; 2016) indicated that dam at 3 to 4 years of age produce higher milk than other ages, while other studies indicated that dams at their 5 years of age produce much milk comparing to other age (Jawasreh, 2003; Toplu and Altinel, 2008 and Alkass and Merkhan, 2011). This because of the size of udder is larger of surrounding, teat distance, the length and the width (Alkass and Merkhan 2011). Such results resemble to earlier investigators who reported significant effect of doe’s age on ADMY, MYAW and TMY (Alkass and Merkhan, 2011; Ibnelbachyr et al., 2015; AL -Dabbagh and Omarani, 2016 and Atay and Gokdal, 2016). While Hermiz et al. (1998, 2004 and 2015) didn’t reveal to the significant effects for age of doe on their milk production. Hermiz (2001) claimed that milk production increase till the third or fourth season then decline. This effect could be due to maturity and development of digestive system and increase feed consumption (Randy et al., 1988).

The effectiveness of year was significant (\( P < 0.01 \)) during kidding on all milk yield traits. The significant effect of year of kidding on ADMY, MYAW and TMY were in compatible with those reported earlier in Local and Damascus breeds (Crepaldi et al., 1999; Kominakis et al., 2000; Hermiz, 2001; Ishag et al., 2011 and Bedhane et al., 2012). This significant effect reflects the differences in management systems as well as the feeding of goats that vary due to environmental conditions from year to year (Crepaldi et al., 1999). Also season of kidding affect all studied milk traits significantly (\( P < 0.01 \)), whereas the does kidding in winter had significantly higher ADMY, MYAW and TMY than those kidding in spring season. In Iraq, the goats that kidded in the season of winter have significantly higher in both production of total milk and post-weaning milk yield than goat that kidded in the season of spring, while the variation between two seasons for test day milk production were non-significant (Hermiz et al., 1998). First and second tables reveals that kidding of does in season of winter had significantly higher ADMY, MYAW and TMY than kidding of does in the season of spring. Similar results were found
by Blackburn and Field 1990, Hermiz et al. (1998), Kominakis et al. (2000), Hermiz et al., (2004), Mioc et al. (2008) and Taher (2017). Hermiz et al. (2015) revealed that kidding of does in winter had higher significantly (P< 0.01) MYAW and TMY than does kidding in season of autumn, whereas the differences between the two seasons for ADMY were not significant. The year and season of kidding were significant effects on traits of milk reflect the environmental differences as well as the changes in management and feeding practices.

It appears from the results given in Table (2) that the effects of type of birth and sex of kids were significant on all milk traits. However table 1 represent that does with female twins produced significantly more ADMY comparing with does having male or female singles only. It was found that does with female twins and male and female twins produced significantly much MYAW than other groups. It was noticed that does with female twins yield significantly higher TMY when compared to those in the other groups, on the same time there were no significant differences in TMY of does with male twin and does with male and female twin. Such results resemble earlier reports significant effect on ADMY (Crepaldi et al., 1999; Kominakis et al., 2000 and Singh et al., 2000), MYAW (Louda and Doney, 1976) and TMY (Gokhale et al., 1997 and Sangare and Pandey, 2000). Hayden et al. (1979) stated that greater production of milk in goats with two or more kids can be demonstrated by increased level of placental lactogen in goats having multiple kids. Later, Hermiz et al. (2015) stated that does with triple births produce higher TMY and AVDM than those born single or twin kids. In Iraq, Hermiz et al. (1998) and (2004) appeared that sex effect by litter size on the traits of milk yield was non-significant. Also in India, Singh et al. (2009) noticed a non-significant effect of type of kidding on milk production and reproduction traits in Jamunapari goats. There was no significant effect of doe weight at kidding on all studied traits and the regression coefficients were positive for ADMY (0.0003 kg/kg), and TMY (0.085 kg/kg) while the regression was negative for MYAW (-0.0024 kg/kg), which indicate that increasing 1 kg in body weight of doe at kidding will increase ADMY and TMY by 0.0003 and 0.085 kg respectively, and decrease MYAW by 0.0024 kg (Tables 1 and 2). Also Hermiz et al. (1998 and 2015) reported similar results in the non-significant effect. While other studies reported that the regression of milk traits on doe weight was significant (Mellado et al., 1991; Sangare and Pandey, 2000 and Hermiz et al., 2004).

Repeatability estimates obtained from this study of ADMY (0.24), MYAW (0.31) and TMY (0.27) were lower than estimated value mentioned earlier of traits of milk by using Local, Damascus and cross breed of goat in Iraq (Hermiz et al., 2004). Such estimates indicate that selection will increase future milk yield of the flock. In general these estimates were within the range of those reported earlier (Ilahi et al., 1998 and Ribeiro et al., 1998).

**Table 1. Least square means ± standard errors for the effects on milk traits (kg) in Local Goat.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Average daily Milk Yield (kg)</th>
<th>Milk Yield After Weaning (kg)</th>
<th>Total Milk Yield (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No Means S.E.</td>
<td>No Means S.E.</td>
<td>No Means ± S.E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall mean</td>
<td>439 0.727 ± 0.004</td>
<td>436 54.49± 0.39</td>
<td>437 129.32± 0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of doe (years):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>53 0.705± 0.013c</td>
<td>53 50.78 ± 1.37b</td>
<td>53 124.75± 2.51c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>137 0.750± 0.009b</td>
<td>135 55.91 ± 0.98a</td>
<td>136 133.65± 1.79b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>169 0.772± 0.009a</td>
<td>169 56.46 ± 0.96a</td>
<td>169 136.88 ± 1.77a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>80 0.690± 0.012c</td>
<td>79 51.99 ± 1.21b</td>
<td>79 124.54± 2.23c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year of kidding:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-2017</td>
<td>182 0.701± 0.010b</td>
<td>180 49.98 ± 1.00b</td>
<td>181 124.73 ± 1.84b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-2018</td>
<td>257 0.761± 0.097a</td>
<td>256 57.59 ± 0.98a</td>
<td>256 135.17 ± 1.82a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season of kidding:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>356 0.750± 0.008a</td>
<td>354 56.04 ± 0.86a</td>
<td>355 133.72 ± 1.58a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>83 0.713± 0.011b</td>
<td>82 51.54 ± 1.10b</td>
<td>82 126.19± 2.03b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of birth and Sex of Kids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Twin</td>
<td>8 0.785± 0.024a</td>
<td>8 59.45 ± 2.46a</td>
<td>8 140.71 ± 4.52a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male Twin</td>
<td>7 0.745± 0.026ab</td>
<td>7 51.62 ± 2.61b</td>
<td>7 132.41 ± 4.80b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male and Female Twin</td>
<td>13 0.738± 0.019ab</td>
<td>13 55.65 ± 1.93a</td>
<td>13 130.41 ± 3.54b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male Single</td>
<td>215 0.701± 0.005b</td>
<td>213 51.51± 0.56b</td>
<td>214 124.34 ± 1.03c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Single</td>
<td>196 0.688± 0.006c</td>
<td>195 50.70± 0.58b</td>
<td>195 121.89± 1.07d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regression on Doe weight at kidding</td>
<td>439 0.0003± 0.0001</td>
<td>436 -0.0024± 0.001</td>
<td>437 0.085± 0.014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means having different letters within each factor/column differ significantly (P< 0.01) according to Schaffer's test.

**Table 2. Mean squares and test of significance for factors affecting milk traits in Local goats.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Average daily Milk Yield (kg)</th>
<th>Milk Yield After Weaning (kg)</th>
<th>Total Milk Yield (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d.f.</td>
<td>Mean squares</td>
<td>d.f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of doe (years)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1178 **</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year of kidding</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2055 **</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season of kidding</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0829 **</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of birth and sex of kids</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0289 **</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regression on Doe weight at kidding</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>0.0045</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** = P< 0.01
4. CONCLUSION

In sum: due to the effectiveness of the fixed factors on the studied traits, hence adjusting records is necessary. The estimates of repeatability indicate that selection of does as well their kids depending on their milk production will improve the productivity in the next year.
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