

ON THE PARAMETRIZATION OF NONLINEAR IMPULSIVE FRACTIONAL INTEGRO–DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEM WITH NON-SEPARATED INTEGRAL COUPLED BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

Ava Sh. Rafeeq

Dept. of Mathematic, Faculty of Science, University of Zakho, Zakho, Kurdistan Region, Iraq (ava.rafeeq@uoz.edu.krd)

Received: Oct., 2020 / Accepted: Dec., 2020 / Published: Dec., 2020

<https://doi.org/10.25271/sjuoz.2020.8.4.768>

ABSTRACT:

We give a new investigation of periodic solutions of nonlinear impulsive fractional integro-differential system with different orders of fractional derivatives with non-separated integral coupled boundary conditions. Uniformly Converging of the sequence of functions according to the main idea of the Numerical-analytic technique, from creating a sequence of functions. An example of impulsive fractional system is also presented to illustrate the theory.

KEYWORDS: Caputo fractional derivative, fractional integro-differential, integral coupled boundary conditions, Periodic solutions, successive approximation method.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fractional differential equations have been developed in the last decade as good tools to describe the mathematical modeling of systems and processes in the fields of physics, chemistry, aerodynamics, economics, control theory and image processing, etc. (Kilbas *et al.*, 2006, Sabatier *et al.*, 2007, Lakshmikantham *et al.*, 2009). On the other hand, we observe periodic motions in every field of science and everywhere in real life (Farkas, 1994). The applications and theory of the fractional differential equations have recently been collected by several researchers for different problems, we refer the reader to (Ahmad and Nieto, 2014, Henderson *et al.*, 2015). The theory of impulsive differential equations is a new and important field of differential equation theory, many authors see (Agarwal *et al.*, 2010, Wang, *et al.*, 2012, Feckan *et al.*, 2012, Wang *et al.*, 2014), which has been an object of intensive investigation in recent years, and applications to different areas have been considered. However, the concept of solutions for impulsive fractional differential equations (Zhou and Chu, 2012, Bai *et al.*, 2016, Bai and, Zhang, 2016, Dong *et al.*, 2017) has been argued extensively,

Also an extended this method by (Feckan and Marynets, 2017) to nonlinear system of integro-differential equation (Butris *et al.*, 2017, Butris, and Taher, 2019) and Caputo type differential equations with periodic boundary value problems (Mahmudov *et al.*, 2019). Furthermore, the investigate of a coupled system of fractional order is also very significant because this type of system can often occur in applications. The reader is referred to read (Su, 2009, Wang *et al.*, 2010), and the references cited therein.

Caputo-type fractional integro-differential system with nonlinear coupled integral boundary conditions has been considered in this paper. We apply picard approximations technique proposed by (Ronto and Samoilenko, 2000, Ronto *et al.*, 2015, Marynets, 2016, Feckan and Marynets, 2018) for investigation the existence, uniqueness and approximation of periodic solutions of nonlinear fractional integro-differential system with nonlinear coupled integral boundary conditions. Motivated by the works mentioned and many known results, we use the numerical analytic method to investigate the

existence and uniqueness of periodic solutions and define our problem

$$\left. \begin{aligned} {}^c D_{0+}^\alpha u(t) &= f(t, \beta(\tau, \alpha), u(t), \int_0^{a(t)} K(t, s)(u(s) - z(s)) ds) \\ &\quad t \neq t_i \\ {}^c D_{0+}^\gamma z(t) &= g(t, \beta(\tau, \gamma), z(t), \int_0^{b(t)} R(t, s)(u(s) - z(s)) ds) \\ &\quad t \neq t_i \\ \Delta u|_{t=t_i} &= I_i(\beta(\tau, \alpha), u(t)) \quad , \quad \Delta z|_{t=t_i} = J_i(\beta(\tau, \alpha), z(t)) \end{aligned} \right\} \dots (1.1)$$

$i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$

with non-separated integral coupled boundary conditions

$$\left. \begin{aligned} Au(0) + Bu(T) &= \int_0^T h_1(z(s)) ds, \quad \text{with } \det(B) \neq 0 \\ Cz(0) + Dz(T) &= \int_0^T h_2(u(s)) ds, \quad \text{with } \det(D) \neq 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \dots (1.2)$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, A, B, C and D are $n \times n$ matrices where ${}^c D_{0+}^\alpha$, ${}^c D_{0+}^\gamma$, denote the Caputo fractional derivatives, $0 < \alpha, \gamma \leq 1$, also $\beta(\tau, \alpha)$ and $\beta(\tau, \gamma)$ are said to be special functions provided that (Beta function), the function $f, g \in C([0, T] \times \Omega \times D_1, D_2, R)$, $\Omega = (0, T] \times (0, 1]$, D_1 and D_2 are compact subset of, also a, b, h_1 and h_2 are continuous functions on $[0, T]$

2. BACKGROUND METERIAL

In this section, some definitions of fraction calculus and lemmas are presented which are used for the statement of the problem (1.1) and (1.2).

Definition 2.1 (Kilbas *et al.*, 2006) For a function g given on the interval $[a, b]$, the Caputo fractional order derivative of g is defined by

$${}^t_0 D^\alpha g(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n - \alpha)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{n - \alpha - 1} g^{(n)}(s) ds \quad \dots (2.1)$$

where $n = [\alpha] + 1$ and $[\alpha]$ denotes the integer part of α , and $\Gamma(\cdot)$ denotes the Gamma function.

Definition 2.2 (Kilbas *et al.*,2006) Let g be a function which is defined almost everywhere (a.e) on $[a, b]$, for $\alpha > 0$, we define

$${}_a^b D^{-\alpha} f = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^b (b-t)^{\alpha-1} g(t) dt \quad \dots (2.2)$$

provided that the integral (Lebesgue) exists.

Lemma 2.3 Let $g(t)$ be a continuous function for $t \in [0, T]$, then the following estimate is hold

$$\left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left[\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} g(s) ds - \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\alpha \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha-1} g(s) ds \right] \right| \leq \omega(t) \max_{t \in [\tau, \tau+T]} |g(t)|,$$

where $\omega(t) = \frac{2t^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \left(1 - \frac{t}{T}\right)^\alpha$.

Proof. It is obvious that

$$\left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left[\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} g(s) ds - \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\alpha \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha-1} g(s) ds \right] \right| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left[\int_0^t \left((t-s)^{\alpha-1} - \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\alpha (T-s)^{\alpha-1} \right) |g(s)| ds - \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\alpha \int_t^T (T-s)^{\alpha-1} |g(s)| ds \right]$$

Form (Feckan and Marynets,2017), the terms becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} - \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\alpha (T-s)^{\alpha-1} &= (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \left(1 - \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\alpha \left(\frac{T-s}{T-s}\right)^{1-\alpha}\right) \\ &\geq (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \left(1 - \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\alpha \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^{1-\alpha}\right) = (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{T-t}{T}\right) \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

For any $s \in [0, t]$, we obtain that

$$\left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left[\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} g(s) ds - \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\alpha \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha-1} g(s) ds \right] \right| \leq \frac{2t^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \left(1 - \frac{t}{T}\right)^\alpha \max_{t \in [\tau, \tau+T]} |g(t)| \leq \omega(t) \max_{t \in [\tau, \tau+T]} |g(t)|$$

The proof of lemma is complete

Definition 2.4 The solutions of the system of fractional integro-differential equations (1.1) and integral boundary conditions (1.2) are defining the following integral equations:-

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, x_0, y_0) &= u_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, \beta(\tau, \alpha), u(s, u_0, z_0), \\ &\int_0^{a(s)} K(s, \xi)(u(\xi, u_0, z_0) - z(\xi, u_0, z_0)) d\xi) ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\alpha \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, \beta(\tau, \alpha), u(s, u_0, z_0), \\ & \int_0^{a(s)} K(s, \xi)(u(\xi, u_0, z_0) - z(\xi, u_0, z_0)) d\xi) ds \\ & - \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\alpha \sum_{t=1}^{S_1} I_i(\beta(\tau, \alpha), u(s)) \\ & + \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\alpha \left[B^{-1} \int_0^T h_1(z(s, u_0, z_0)) ds - (B^{-1}A + I)u_0 \right] \\ & + \sum_{0 < t_i < t} I_i(\beta(\tau, \alpha), u(t)) \quad \dots (2.3) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} z(t, u_0, z_0) &= z_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\gamma-1} g(s, \beta(\tau, \gamma), z(s, u_0, z_0), \\ & \int_0^{b(s)} R(s, \xi)(u(\xi, u_0, z_0) - z(\xi, u_0, z_0)) d\xi) ds \\ & - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\gamma \int_0^T (T-s)^{\gamma-1} g(s, \beta(\tau, \gamma), z(s, u_0, z_0), \\ & \int_0^{b(s)} R(s, \xi)(u(\xi, u_0, z_0) - z(\xi, u_0, z_0)) d\xi) ds \\ & - \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\gamma \sum_{t=1}^{S_2} J_i(\beta(\tau, \gamma), z(t)) \\ & + \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\gamma \left[D^{-1} \int_0^T h_2(u(s, u_0, z_0)) ds - (D^{-1}C + I)z_0 \right] \\ & + \sum_{0 < t_i < t} J_i(\beta(\tau, \gamma), z(t)) \quad \dots (2.4) \end{aligned}$$

3. CONDITIONS FOR CONVERGENCE OF SUCCESSIVE APPROXIMATION

For investigate of the successive approximation for periodic solution of the problem (1.1) and (2.2), we need the some conditions, suppose that the vector functions $f, g \in C([0, T] \times \Omega \times D_1 \times D_2, R)$, $I_i, J_i \in C(\Omega \times D_1, R)$ $\Omega = (0, T] \times (0, 1]$, D_1 and D_2 are compact subset of R^1 , also, φ, h_1 and h_2 are continuous functions on $[0, T]$, and satisfies the following hypothesis.

H_1 : There exist positive constants $M, L, K_1, K_2, L_1, L_2, M_{\beta\alpha}$ and $M_{\beta\gamma}$ such that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \|f(t, \beta(\tau, \alpha), u, x)\| &\leq M_{\beta\alpha} M \\ \|I_i(\beta(\tau, \alpha), u(t))\| &\leq M_{\beta\alpha} M_1 \end{aligned} \right\} \dots (3.1)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \|g(t, \beta(\tau, \gamma), z, y)\| &\leq M_{\beta\gamma} L \\ \|J_i(\beta(\tau, \gamma), z(t))\| &\leq M_{\beta\gamma} L_1 \end{aligned} \right\} \dots (3.2)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \|f(t, \beta(\tau, \alpha), u_1, x_1) - f(t, \beta(\tau, \alpha), u_2, x_2)\| &\leq M_{\beta\alpha} \\ & (K_1 \|u_1 - u_2\| + K_2 \|x_1 - x_2\|) \end{aligned} \right\} \dots (3.3)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \|I_i(\beta(\tau, \alpha), u_1) - I_i(\beta(\tau, \alpha), u_2)\| &\leq M_{\beta\alpha} K_3 \|u_1 - u_2\| \\ \|g(t, \beta(\tau, \gamma), z_1, y_1) - g(t, \beta(\tau, \gamma), z_2, y_2)\| &\leq M_{\beta\gamma} \\ & (L_1 \|z_1 - z_2\| + L_2 \|y_1 - y_2\|) \end{aligned} \right\} \dots (3.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\beta\alpha} &= \max_{\tau \in (0, T]} \frac{1}{\beta(\tau, \alpha)}, M_{\beta\gamma} = \max_{\tau \in (0, T]} \frac{1}{\beta(\tau, \gamma)}, \\ x_i &= \int_0^{a(t)} K(t, s)(u_i(s) - z_i(s)) ds, \\ y_i &= \int_0^{b(t)} R(t, s)(u_i(s) - z_i(s)) ds \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, $\tau \in (0, T]$, $u_1, u_2, z_1, z_2 \in D_1$ and $x_i, y_i \in D_2$, $i = 1, 2$.

H₂: There exist positive constants p_0, p_1, q_0 and q_1 such that

$$\|h_1(z)\| \leq p_0, \|h_2(u)\| \leq q_0 \quad \dots (3.5)$$

$$\|h_1(z_1) - h_1(z_2)\| \leq p_1 \|z_1 - z_2\| \quad \dots (3.6)$$

$$\|h_2(u_1) - h_2(u_2)\| \leq q_1 \|u_1 - u_2\| \quad \dots (3.7)$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, $u_1, u_2, z_1, z_2 \in D_1$.

H₃: The kernels $K(t, s)$ and $R(t, s)$ satisfy the following conditions, when there exist positive constants K_s and R_s such that

$$\int_0^{a(t)} \|K(t, s)\| ds \leq K_s \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^{b(t)} \|R(t, s)\| ds \leq R_s \quad \text{for all } s, t \in [0, T] \quad \dots (3.8)$$

Let $\omega(t) = \frac{2t^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \left(1 - \frac{t}{T}\right)^\alpha$ and $\varphi(t) = \frac{2t^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \left(1 - \frac{t}{T}\right)^\gamma$,

then $\omega(t)$ and $\varphi(t)$ take these maximum value at $t = \frac{T}{2}$,

and $\|\omega\|_\infty = \frac{T^\alpha}{2^{2\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha+1)}$, $\|\varphi\|_\infty = \frac{T^\gamma}{2^{2\gamma-1}\Gamma(\gamma+1)}$, with

$$\|\cdot\|_\infty = \max_{t \in [0, T]} \{|\cdot|\}$$

Define the non-empty set

$$\left. \begin{aligned} D_f &= D_1 - \frac{T^\alpha}{2^{2\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha+1)} M_{\beta\alpha} M + M_4 \\ D_g &= D_2 - \frac{T^\gamma}{2^{2\gamma-1}\Gamma(\gamma+1)} M_{\beta\gamma} L + M_5 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \dots (3.9)$$

where

$$M_4 = M_2 + 2S_1 M_1, \quad M_5 = M_3 + 2S_2 L_1,$$

$$M_2 = \|B^{-1}\| T p_0 + (\|B^{-1}\| \|A\| + 1) \|u_0\|$$

$$\text{and } M_3 = \|D^{-1}\| T q_0 + (\|D^{-1}\| \|C\| + 1) \|z_0\|$$

Furthermore, we suppose that the largest eigen-value of the matrix

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} H_1 & H_2 \\ H_3 & H_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Where

$$H_1 = \frac{T^\alpha}{2^{2\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha+1)} M_{\beta\alpha} (K_1 + K_2 K_s) + 2M_{\beta\alpha} S_1 K_3$$

$$H_2 = \frac{T^\alpha}{2^{2\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha+1)} M_{\beta\alpha} K_2 K_s + \|B^{-1}\| T p_1$$

$$H_3 = \frac{T^\gamma}{2^{2\gamma-1}\Gamma(\gamma+1)} M_{\beta\gamma} L_2 R_s + \|D^{-1}\| T q_1$$

$$H_4 = \frac{T^\gamma}{2^{2\gamma-1}\Gamma(\gamma+1)} M_{\beta\gamma} (L_1 + L_2 R_s) + 2M_{\beta\gamma} S_2 L_3$$

does not exceed unity, i. e.

$$\lambda_{\max}(\Lambda) = \frac{m_2 + \sqrt{m_2^2 + 4(m_1 - m_3)}}{2} < 1 \quad \dots (3.10)$$

Where

$$m_1 = H_1 H_4, \quad m_2 = H_1 + H_4, \quad m_3 = H_2 H_3$$

4. MAIN RESULTS

Our main results separate to the following four parts:

4.1 Approximation of Periodic Solution of (1.1) and (1.2)

In this section, we study the periodic approximation solutions of the system of nonlinear fractional integro-differential equations (1.1) with coupled integral boundary conditions (1.2) will be introduced by the following theorem.

In the beginning, we define the following sequence of functions $\{u_m\}_{m=0}^\infty$ and $\{z_m\}_{m=0}^\infty$ given by the iterative formulas

$$\begin{aligned} u_{m+1}(t, u_0, z_0) &= u_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \\ & f(s, \beta(\tau, \alpha), u_m(s, u_0, z_0), \int_0^{a(s)} K(s, \xi)(u_m(\xi, u_0, z_0) \\ & \quad - z_m(\xi, u_0, z_0)) d\xi) ds \\ & - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\alpha \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha-1} \\ & f(s, \beta(\tau, \alpha), u_m(s, u_0, z_0), \int_0^{a(s)} K(s, \xi)(u_m(\xi, u_0, z_0) \\ & \quad - z_m(\xi, u_0, z_0)) d\xi) ds \\ & - \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{S_1} I_i(\beta(\tau, \alpha), u_m(t, u_0, z_0)) \\ & + \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\alpha \left[B^{-1} \int_0^T h_1(z_m(s, u_0, z_0)) ds - (B^{-1}A + I)u_0 \right] \\ & + \sum_{0 < t_i < t} I_i(\beta(\tau, \alpha), u_m(t, u_0, z_0)) \quad \dots (4.1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} z_{m+1}(t, u_0, z_0) &= z_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\gamma-1} \\ & g(s, \beta(\tau, \gamma), z_m(s, u_0, z_0), \int_0^{b(s)} R(s, \xi)(u_m(\xi, u_0, z_0) \\ & \quad - z_m(\xi, u_0, z_0)) d\xi) ds \\ & - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\gamma \int_0^T (T-s)^{\gamma-1} \\ & g(s, \beta(\tau, \gamma), z_m(s, u_0, z_0), \int_0^{b(s)} R(s, \xi)(u_m(\xi, u_0, z_0) \\ & \quad - z_m(\xi, u_0, z_0)) d\xi) ds \\ & - \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\gamma \sum_{i=1}^{S_2} J_i(\beta(\tau, \gamma), z_m(t, u_0, z_0)) \\ & + \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\gamma \left[D^{-1} \int_0^T h_2(u_m(s, u_0, z_0)) ds - (D^{-1}C + I)z_0 \right] \\ & + \sum_{0 < t_i < t} J_i(\beta(\tau, \gamma), y_m(t, u_0, z_0)) \quad \dots (4.2) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$u_0(t, u_0, z_0) = u_0, \quad z_0(t, u_0, z_0) = z_0, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Theorem 4.1. If the system (1.1) with boundary conditions (1.2) satisfy the conditions H_1, H_2, H_3 and (4.10), then sequences of functions (4.1) and (4.2), which are periodic in t of period T , converges uniformly as $m \rightarrow \infty$ on the domain:-

$$(t, u_0, z_0) \in [0, T] \times D_1 \times D_2 \quad \dots (4.3)$$

to the limit functions $\begin{pmatrix} u(t, u_0, z_0) \\ z(t, u_0, z_0) \end{pmatrix}$ defined on the domain (4.3)

which is periodic in t of period T and satisfies the following integral equations:-

$$u(t, u_0, z_0) = u_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f(s, \beta(\tau, \alpha), u(s, u_0, z_0), \int_0^{\alpha(s)} K(s, \xi)(u(\xi, u_0, z_0) \\
 & \quad - z(\xi, u_0, z_0))d\xi) ds \\
 & - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\alpha \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha-1} \\
 & f(s, \beta(\tau, \alpha), u(s, u_0, z_0), \int_0^{\alpha(s)} K(s, \xi)(u(\xi, u_0, z_0) \\
 & \quad - z(\xi, u_0, z_0))d\xi) ds \\
 & - \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\alpha \sum_{\ell=1}^{S_1} I_i(\beta(\tau, \alpha), u(t, u_0, z_0)) \\
 & + \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\alpha \left[B^{-1} \int_0^T h_1(z(s, u_0, z_0))ds - (B^{-1}A + I)u_0 \right] \\
 & + \sum_{0 < t_i < t} I_i(\beta(\tau, \alpha), u(t, u_0, z_0)) \quad \dots \quad (4.4)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 z(t, u_0, z_0) &= z_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\gamma-1} \\
 & g(s, \beta(\tau, \gamma), z(s, u_0, z_0), \int_0^{b(s)} R(s, \xi)(u(\xi, u_0, z_0) \\
 & \quad - z(\xi, u_0, z_0))d\xi) ds \\
 & - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\gamma \int_0^T (T-s)^{\gamma-1} \\
 & g(s, \beta(\tau, \gamma), z(s, u_0, z_0), \int_0^{b(s)} R(s, \xi)(u(\xi, u_0, z_0) \\
 & \quad - z(\xi, u_0, z_0))d\xi) ds \\
 & - \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\gamma \sum_{t=1}^{S_2} J_i(\beta(\tau, \gamma), z(t, u_0, z_0)) \\
 & + \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\gamma \left[D^{-1} \int_0^T h_2(u(s, u_0, z_0))ds - (D^{-1}C + I)z_0 \right] \\
 & + \sum_{0 < t_i < t} J_i(\beta(\tau, \gamma), z(t, u_0, z_0)) \quad \dots \quad (4.5)
 \end{aligned}$$

on the domain (4.3), provided that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\| u(t, u_0, z_0) - u_{m+1}(t, u_0, z_0) \| \right) \leq \Lambda^m (E - \Lambda)^{-1} \\
 & \left(\| z(t, u_0, z_0) - z_{m+1}(t, u_0, z_0) \| \right) \leq \Lambda^m (E - \Lambda)^{-1} \\
 & \left(\frac{T^\alpha}{2^{2\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha+1)} M_{\beta\alpha} M + M_4 \right) \\
 & \left(\frac{T^\gamma}{2^{2\gamma-1}\Gamma(\gamma+1)} M_{\beta\gamma} L + M_5 \right) \quad \dots \quad (4.6)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $m \geq 0, u_0 \in D_1, z_0 \in D_2$ and $t \in R^1$, where E is an identity matrix.

Proof. Setting $m = 0$ in the sequence of functions (4.1),(4.2) and by using Lemma 2.3 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|u_1(t, u_0, z_0) - u_0\| \leq \omega(t) \\
 & \left\| f(t, \beta(\tau, \alpha), u_0, \int_0^{\alpha(t)} K(t, s)(u_0 - z_0)ds) \right\| \\
 & + \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\alpha \sum_{\ell=1}^{S_1} \|I_i(\beta(\tau, \alpha), u_0)\| \\
 & + \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\alpha \left\| B^{-1} \int_0^T h_1(z_0)ds - (B^{-1}A + I)u_0 \right\| \\
 & + \sum_{0 < t_i < t} \|I_i(\beta(\tau, \alpha), u_0)\| \\
 & \leq \frac{T^\alpha}{2^{2\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha+1)} M_{\beta\alpha} M + M_4
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, T], u_0 \in D_f$ we get $u_1(t, u_0, z_0) \in D_1$, similarly

$$\|z_1(t, x_0, y_0) - z_0\| \leq \frac{T^\gamma}{2^{2\gamma-1}\Gamma(\gamma+1)} M_{\beta\gamma} L + M_5$$

for all $t \in [0, T], z_0 \in D_g$ we obtain that $z_1(t, u_0, z_0) \in D_2$.

Thus by the mathematical induction, we find that

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 & \| u_m(t, u_0, z_0) - u_0 \| \leq \frac{T^\alpha}{2^{2\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha+1)} M_{\beta\alpha} M + M_4 \\
 & \| z_m(t, x_0, y_0) - z_0 \| \leq \frac{T^\gamma}{2^{2\gamma-1}\Gamma(\gamma+1)} M_{\beta\gamma} L + M_5
 \end{aligned} \right\} \dots \quad (4.7)$$

means that $u_m(t, u_0, z_0) \in D_1, z_m(t, u_0, z_0) \in D_2, u_0 \in D_f, z_0 \in D_g$, for all $t \in [0, T], m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Now, we claim that the sequences of functions (4.1) and (4.2) are uniformly convergent on the domain (4.3).

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|u_{m+1}(t, u_0, z_0) - u_m(t, u_0, z_0)\| \\
 & \leq \omega(t) \left\| f(t, \beta(\tau, \alpha), u_m(t, u_0, z_0), \int_0^{\alpha(t)} K(t, s)(u_m(s, u_0, z_0) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - z_m(s, u_0, z_0))ds) - \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\left. f(t, \beta(\tau, \alpha), u_{m-1}(t, u_0, z_0), \int_0^{\alpha(t)} K(t, s)(u_{m-1}(s, u_0, z_0) \right. \\
 \left. - z_{m-1}(s, u_0, z_0))ds) \right\|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{\ell=1}^{S_1} \|I_i(\beta(\tau, \alpha), u_m(t, u_0, z_0)) \\
 & \quad - I_i(\beta(\tau, \alpha), u_{m-1}(t, x_0, y_0))\| \\
 & + \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\alpha \|B^{-1}\|T\|h_1(z_m(t, u_0, z_0) - z_{m-1}(t, u_0, z_0))\| \\
 & + \sum_{0 < t_i < t} \|I_i(\beta(\tau, \alpha), u_m(t, u_0, z_0)) \\
 & \quad - I_i(\beta(\tau, \alpha), u_{m-1}(t, u_0, z_0))\|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \leq (\omega(t)M_{\beta\alpha}(K_1 + K_2K_s) + 2M_{\beta\alpha}S_1K_3) \times \\
 & \|u_m(t, u_0, z_0) - u_{m-1}(t, u_0, z_0)\| + \omega(t)M_{\beta\alpha}K_2K_s \times \\
 & \|u_m(t, u_0, z_0) - u_{m-1}(t, u_0, z_0)\| \\
 & + \|B^{-1}\|T\|p_1\| \|z_m(t, u_0, z_0) - z_{m-1}(t, u_0, z_0)\|
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|u_{m+1}(t, u_0, z_0) - u_m(t, u_0, z_0)\| \leq (\omega(t)M_{\beta\alpha}(K_1 + K_2K_s) \\
 & + 2M_{\beta\alpha}S_1K_3)\|u_m(t, u_0, z_0) - u_{m-1}(t, u_0, z_0)\| \\
 & + (\omega(t)M_{\beta\alpha}K_2K_s + \|B^{-1}\|Tp_1) \\
 & \|z_m(t, u_0, z_0) - z_{m-1}(t, u_0, z_0)\| \quad \dots \quad (4.8)
 \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|z_{m+1}(t, u_0, z_0) - z_m(t, u_0, z_0)\| \\
 & \leq (\varphi(t)M_{\beta\gamma}L_2R_s + \|D^{-1}\|Tq_1) \\
 & \|u_m(t, u_0, z_0) - u_{m-1}(t, u_0, z_0)\| + \\
 & (\varphi(t)M_{\beta\gamma}(L_1 + L_2R_s) + 2M_{\beta\gamma}S_2L_3) \\
 & \|z_m(t, u_0, z_0) - z_{m-1}(t, u_0, z_0)\| \quad \dots \quad (4.9)
 \end{aligned}$$

We rewrite inequalities (4.8) and (4.9) in vector form to gain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\| u_{m+1}(t, u_0, z_0) - u_m(t, u_0, z_0) \| \right) \\
 & \left(\| z_{m+1}(t, u_0, z_0) - z_m(t, u_0, z_0) \| \right) \\
 & \leq \Lambda \left(\| u_m(t, u_0, z_0) - u_{m-1}(t, u_0, z_0) \| \right) \\
 & \left(\| z_m(t, u_0, z_0) - z_{m-1}(t, u_0, z_0) \| \right) \quad \dots \quad (4.10)
 \end{aligned}$$

By mathematical induction, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\begin{array}{l} \| u_{m+1}(t, u_0, z_0) - u_m(t, u_0, z_0) \| \\ \| z_{m+1}(t, u_0, z_0) - z_m(t, u_0, z_0) \| \end{array} \right) \\ & \leq \Lambda^m \left(\begin{array}{l} \| u_1(t, u_0, z_0) - u_0 \| \\ \| z_1(t, u_0, z_0) - z_0 \| \end{array} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Now from $m = 1, 2, \dots$ and $p \geq 1$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\begin{array}{l} \| u_{m+p}(t, u_0, z_0) - u_m(t, u_0, z_0) \| \\ \| z_{m+p}(t, u_0, z_0) - z_m(t, u_0, z_0) \| \end{array} \right) \leq \Lambda^m (E - \Lambda)^{-1} \\ & \left(\begin{array}{l} \frac{T^\alpha}{2^{2\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha+1)} M_{\beta\alpha} + M_4 \\ \frac{T^\gamma}{2^{2\gamma-1}\Gamma(\gamma+1)} M_{\beta\gamma} + M_5 \end{array} \right) \dots (4.11) \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, $u_0 \in D_f$ and $z_0 \in D_g$.

Since $\frac{m_2 + \sqrt{m_2^2 + 4(m_1 - m_3)}}{2} < 1$ and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda^m = 0$, so that the right side of (4.11) tends to zero. Therefore the sequence of function $\begin{pmatrix} u_m(t, u_0, z_0) \\ z_m(t, u_0, z_0) \end{pmatrix}$ is converges uniformly on the domain (4.3) to the limit function $\begin{pmatrix} u(t, u_0, z_0) \\ z(t, u_0, z_0) \end{pmatrix}$ which is defined on the same domain.

Let

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \begin{pmatrix} u_m(t, u_0, z_0) \\ z_m(t, u_0, z_0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u(t, u_0, z_0) \\ z(t, u_0, z_0) \end{pmatrix} \dots (4.12)$$

Since the sequence of functions (4.1) and (4.2) are periodic in t of period T , then the limiting function $\begin{pmatrix} u(t, u_0, z_0) \\ z(t, u_0, z_0) \end{pmatrix}$ is also periodic in t of period T . Also by the Lemma 2.3 and the inequality (4.11) the inequality (4.6) hold for all $m \geq 0$.

By using the relation (4.12) and proceeding in (4.1) and (4.2) to limit, when $m \rightarrow \infty$, it is convincing that the limiting function $\begin{pmatrix} u(t, u_0, z_0) \\ z(t, u_0, z_0) \end{pmatrix}$ is the periodic solution of the integral equations (4.4) and (4.4).

4.2 Uniqueness of Periodic Solution of (1.1) and (1.2)

Theorem 4.2 All assumptions of the Theorem 4.1 are satisfy, then $\begin{pmatrix} u(t, u_0, z_0) \\ z(t, u_0, z_0) \end{pmatrix}$ is a unique solution of the system (1.1) with boundary conditions (1.2).

Proof. Assume that $\begin{pmatrix} \hat{u}(t, u_0, z_0) \\ \hat{z}(t, u_0, z_0) \end{pmatrix}$ is another solution for the system (1.1) with boundary conditions (1.2), means that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{u}(t, u_0, z_0) &= u_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \\ & f(s, \beta(\tau, \alpha), \hat{u}(s, u_0, z_0), \int_0^{a(s)} K(s, \xi)(\hat{u}(\xi, u_0, z_0) \\ & \quad - \hat{z}(\xi, u_0, z_0))d\xi) ds \\ & - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\alpha \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha-1} \\ & f(s, \beta(\tau, \alpha), \hat{u}(s, u_0, z_0), \int_0^{a(s)} K(s, \xi)(\hat{u}(\xi, u_0, z_0) \\ & \quad - \hat{z}(\xi, u_0, z_0))d\xi) ds \\ & - \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{S_1} I_i(\beta(\tau, \alpha), \hat{u}(t, u_0, z_0)) \\ & + \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\alpha \left[B^{-1} \int_0^T h_1(\hat{z}(s, u_0, z_0))ds - (B^{-1}A + I)u_0 \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \sum_{0 < t_i < t} I_i(\beta(\tau, \alpha), \hat{x}(t, u_0, z_0)) \dots (4.13)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{z}(t, u_0, z_0) &= z_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\gamma-1} \\ & g(s, \beta(\tau, \gamma), \hat{z}(s, u_0, z_0), \int_0^{b(s)} R(s, \xi)(\hat{u}(\xi, u_0, z_0) \\ & \quad - \hat{z}(\xi, u_0, z_0))d\xi) ds \\ & - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\gamma \int_0^T (T-s)^{\gamma-1} \\ & g(s, \beta(\tau, \gamma), \hat{z}(s, u_0, z_0), \int_0^{b(s)} R(s, \xi)(\hat{u}(\xi, u_0, z_0) \\ & \quad - \hat{z}(\xi, u_0, z_0))d\xi) ds \\ & - \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\gamma \sum_{i=1}^{S_2} J_i(\beta(\tau, \gamma), \hat{z}(t, u_0, z_0)) \\ & + \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\gamma \left[D^{-1} \int_0^T h_2(\hat{u}(s, u_0, z_0))ds - (D^{-1}C + I)z_0 \right] \\ & + \sum_{0 < t_i < t} J_i(\beta(\tau, \gamma), \hat{z}(t, u_0, z_0)) \dots (4.14) \end{aligned}$$

Now, the difference between the toe solutions $\begin{pmatrix} u(t, u_0, z_0) \\ z(t, u_0, z_0) \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} \hat{u}(t, u_0, z_0) \\ \hat{z}(t, u_0, z_0) \end{pmatrix}$, for all $t \in [0, T]$ and $u_0 \in D_f, z_0 \in D_g$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \hat{u}(t, u_0, z_0) - u(t, u_0, z_0) \| \leq (\omega(t)M_{\beta\alpha}(K_1 + K_2K_s) \\ & + 2M_{\beta\alpha}S_1K_3) \| \hat{u}(t, u_0, z_0) - u(t, u_0, z_0) \| + \\ & (\omega(t)M_{\beta\alpha}K_2K_s + \|B^{-1}\|Tp_1) \| \hat{z}(t, u_0, z_0) \\ & \quad - z(t, u_0, z_0) \| \dots (4.15) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \hat{z}(t, u_0, z_0) - z(t, u_0, z_0) \| \leq (\varphi(t)M_{\beta\gamma}L_2R_s + \|D^{-1}\|Tq_1) \\ & \| u(t, u_0, z_0) - u(t, u_0, z_0) \| + \\ & (\varphi(t)M_{\beta\gamma}(L_1 + L_2R_s) \\ & + 2M_{\beta\gamma}S_2L_3) \| \hat{z}(t, u_0, z_0) - z(t, u_0, z_0) \| \dots (4.16) \end{aligned}$$

We rewrite inequalities (4.15) and (4.16) in vector form to gain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\begin{array}{l} \| \hat{u}(t, u_0, z_0) - u(t, u_0, z_0) \| \\ \| \hat{z}(t, u_0, z_0) - z(t, u_0, z_0) \| \end{array} \right) \\ & \leq \Lambda \left(\begin{array}{l} \| \hat{u}(t, u_0, z_0) - u(t, u_0, z_0) \| \\ \| \hat{z}(t, u_0, z_0) - z(t, u_0, z_0) \| \end{array} \right) \dots (4.17) \end{aligned}$$

By mathematical induction, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\begin{array}{l} \| \hat{u}(t, u_0, z_0) - u(t, u_0, z_0) \| \\ \| \hat{z}(t, u_0, z_0) - z(t, u_0, z_0) \| \end{array} \right) \\ & \leq \Lambda^m \left(\begin{array}{l} \| \hat{u}(t, u_0, z_0) - u(t, u_0, z_0) \| \\ \| \hat{z}(t, u_0, z_0) - z(t, u_0, z_0) \| \end{array} \right) \dots (4.18) \end{aligned}$$

From the condition (4.10), shows that the solution $\begin{pmatrix} u(t, u_0, z_0) \\ z(t, u_0, z_0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{u}(t, u_0, z_0) \\ \hat{z}(t, u_0, z_0) \end{pmatrix}$, thus $\begin{pmatrix} u(t, u_0, z_0) \\ z(t, u_0, z_0) \end{pmatrix}$ is a unique periodic solution on the domain (4.3).

4.3 Existence of Periodic Solutions of (1.1) and (1.2)

The problem of the existence of the periodic solution for the system (1.1) with boundary condition (1.2) is uniquely connected with the existence of the zeros of the vector functions:-

$$\Delta_1(0, u_0, z_0) = -\frac{\alpha}{T^\alpha} \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, \beta(\tau, \alpha), u(s, u_0, z_0), \int_0^{a(s)} K(s, \xi)(u(\xi, u_0, z_0) - z(\xi, u_0, z_0))d\xi) ds - \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{T^\alpha} \sum_{t=1}^{S_1} I_i(\beta(\tau, \alpha), u(t, u_0, z_0)) + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{T^\alpha} \left[B^{-1} \int_0^T h_1(z(s, u_0, z_0))ds - (B^{-1}A + I)u_0 \right] \dots (4.18)$$

and

$$\Delta_2(0, u_0, z_0) = -\frac{\gamma}{T^\gamma} \int_0^T (T-s)^{\gamma-1} g(s, \beta(\tau, \gamma), z(s, u_0, z_0), \int_0^{b(s)} R(s, \xi)(u(\xi, u_0, z_0) - z(\xi, u_0, z_0))d\xi) ds - \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}{T^\gamma} \sum_{t=1}^{S_2} J_i(\beta(\tau, \gamma), z(t, u_0, z_0)) + \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}{T^\gamma} \left[D^{-1} \int_0^T h_2(u(s, u_0, z_0))ds - (D^{-1}C + I)z_0 \right] \dots (4.19)$$

Also, we define the sequences of vector functions $\Delta_{1m}(0, u_0, z_0)$ and $\Delta_{2m}(0, u_0, z_0)$ are approximately determined by the following:-

$$\Delta_{1m}(0, u_0, z_0) = -\frac{\alpha}{T^\alpha} \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, \beta(\tau, \alpha), u_m(s, u_0, z_0), \int_0^{a(s)} K(s, \xi)(u_m(\xi, u_0, z_0) - z_m(\xi, u_0, z_0))d\xi) ds - \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{T^\alpha} \sum_{t=1}^{S_1} I_i(\beta(\tau, \alpha), u_m(t, u_0, z_0)) + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{T^\alpha} \left[B^{-1} \int_0^T h_1(z_m(s, u_0, z_0))ds - (B^{-1}A + I)u_0 \right] \dots (4.20)$$

and

$$\Delta_{2m}(0, u_0, z_0) = -\frac{\gamma}{T^\gamma} \int_0^T (T-s)^{\gamma-1} g(s, \beta(\tau, \gamma), z_m(s, u_0, z_0), \int_0^{b(s)} R(s, \xi)(u_m(\xi, u_0, z_0) - z_m(\xi, u_0, z_0))d\xi) ds - \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}{T^\gamma} \sum_{t=1}^{S_2} J_i(\beta(\tau, \gamma), z_m(t, u_0, z_0)) + \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}{T^\gamma} \left[D^{-1} \int_0^T h_2(u_m(s, u_0, z_0))ds - (D^{-1}C + I)z_0 \right] \dots (4.21)$$

Theorem 4.3. If the hypotheses and all the conditions of the theorem 4.1 are given, the following inequalities are satisfied:-

$$\left(\begin{aligned} &\| \Delta_1(0, u_0, z_0) - \Delta_{1m}(0, u_0, z_0) \| \\ &\| \Delta_2(0, u_0, z_0) - \Delta_{2m}(0, u_0, z_0) \| \end{aligned} \right) \leq \left(\begin{aligned} &\langle \left(\begin{matrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \end{matrix} \right), \Lambda^m(E - \Lambda)^{-1}\eta \rangle \\ &\langle \left(\begin{matrix} d_3 \\ d_4 \end{matrix} \right), \Lambda^m(E - \Lambda)^{-1}\eta \rangle \end{aligned} \right) \dots (4.22)$$

holds for all $m \geq 0$, where

$$d_1 = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} M_{\beta\alpha}(K_1 + K_2K_s) + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{T^\alpha} M_{\beta\alpha}K_3S_1,$$

$$d_2 = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} M_{\beta\alpha}K_2K_s + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{T^\alpha} \|B^{-1}\|Tp_1,$$

$$d_3 = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} M_{\beta\gamma}L_2R_s + \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}{T^\gamma} \|D^{-1}\|Tq_1,$$

$$d_4 = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} M_{\beta\gamma}(L_1 + L_2R_s) + \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}{T^\gamma} M_{\beta\gamma}L_3S_2$$

and $\eta = \left(\begin{aligned} &\frac{T^\alpha}{2^{2\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha+1)} M_{\beta\alpha} + M_4 \\ &\frac{T^\gamma}{2^{2\gamma-1}\Gamma(\gamma+1)} M_{\beta\gamma} + M_5 \end{aligned} \right)$

Proof. From equations (4.18) to (4.21), we obtain that

$$\| \Delta_1(0, u_0, z_0) - \Delta_{1m}(0, u_0, z_0) \| \leq \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} M_{\beta\alpha}(K_1 + K_2K_s) + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{T^\alpha} M_{\beta\alpha}K_3S_1 \right) \|u(t, u_0, z_0) - u_m(t, u_0, z_0)\| + \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} M_{\beta\alpha}K_2K_s + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{T^\alpha} \|B^{-1}\|Tp_1 \right) \|z(t, u_0, z_0) - z_m(t, u_0, z_0)\| \dots (4.23)$$

and

$$\| \Delta_2(0, u_0, z_0) - \Delta_{2m}(0, u_0, z_0) \| \leq \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} M_{\beta\gamma}L_2R_s + \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}{T^\gamma} \|D^{-1}\|Tq_1 \right) \|u(t, u_0, z_0) - u_m(t, u_0, z_0)\| + \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} M_{\beta\gamma}(L_1 + L_2R_s) + \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}{T^\gamma} M_{\beta\gamma}L_3S_2 \right) \|z(t, u_0, z_0) - z_m(t, u_0, z_0)\| \dots (4.24)$$

Since $\|u(t, u_0, z_0) - u_m(t, u_0, z_0)\| \leq \Lambda^m(E - \Lambda)^{-1}$

$$\left(\frac{T^\alpha}{2^{2\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha+1)} M_{\beta\alpha} + M_4 \right),$$

and $\|z(t, u_0, z_0) - z_m(t, u_0, z_0)\| \leq \Lambda^m(E - \Lambda)^{-1}$

$$\left(\frac{T^\gamma}{2^{2\gamma-1}\Gamma(\gamma+1)} M_{\beta\gamma} + M_5 \right),$$

hence rewrite the equations (4.23) and (4.24) as a vector form.

The inequality (4.22) is hold for all $m \geq 0$.

Theorem 4.4. Let the vector functions $f(t, \beta(\tau, \alpha), u, x)$ and $g(t, \beta(\tau, \gamma), z, y)$ be defined on the intervals $[a_1, b_1]$ and $[a_2, b_2]$ on \mathbb{R}^1 and periodic in t of period T , suppose that for all $m \geq 0$, then the sequences of the functions $\Delta_{1m}(0, u_0, z_0)$ and $\Delta_{2m}(0, u_0, z_0)$ which are defined in (4.20) and (4.21) satisfy the inequalities:-

$$\min_{u_0 \in I_1, z_0 \in I_2} \Delta_{1m}(0, u_0, z_0) \leq - \left\langle \left(\begin{matrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \end{matrix} \right), \Lambda^m(E - \Lambda)^{-1}\eta \right\rangle$$

$$\max_{u_0 \in I_1, z_0 \in I_2} \Delta_{1m}(0, u_0, z_0) \geq \left\langle \left(\begin{matrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \end{matrix} \right), \Lambda^m(E - \Lambda)^{-1}\eta \right\rangle \dots (4.25)$$

$$\min_{u_0 \in I_1, z_0 \in I_2} \Delta_{2m}(0, u_0, z_0) \leq - \left\langle \left(\begin{matrix} d_3 \\ d_4 \end{matrix} \right), \Lambda^m(E - \Lambda)^{-1}\eta \right\rangle$$

$$\max_{u_0 \in I_1, z_0 \in I_2} \Delta_{2m}(0, u_0, z_0) \geq \left\langle \left(\begin{matrix} d_3 \\ d_4 \end{matrix} \right), \Lambda^m(E - \Lambda)^{-1}\eta \right\rangle \dots (4.26)$$

Then (1.1) and (1.2) has a periodic solution $\begin{pmatrix} u(t, u_0, z_0) \\ z(t, u_0, z_0) \end{pmatrix}$ such

$$\text{that } u_0 \in I_1 = \left[a + \frac{T^\alpha}{2^{2\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha+1)} M_{\beta\alpha} + M_4, b - \frac{T^\alpha}{2^{2\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha+1)} M_{\beta\alpha} + M_4 \right] \text{ and } z_0 \in I_2 = \left[c + \frac{T^\gamma}{2^{2\gamma-1}\Gamma(\gamma+1)} M_{\beta\gamma} + M_5, d - \frac{T^\gamma}{2^{2\gamma-1}\Gamma(\gamma+1)} M_{\beta\gamma} + M_5 \right]$$

Proof. Let u_1, u_2 and z_1, z_2 be any points belonging to the intervals I_1 and I_2 respectively, such that

$$\Delta_{1m}(0, u_1, z_1) = \min_{u_0 \in I_1, z_0 \in I_2} \Delta_{1m}(0, u_0, z_0)$$

$$\Delta_{1m}(0, u_2, z_2) = \max_{u_0 \in I_1, z_0 \in I_2} \Delta_{1m}(0, u_0, z_0) \dots (4.27)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \Delta_{2m}(0, u_1, z_1) &= \min_{u_0 \in I_1, z_0 \in I_2} \Delta_{2m}(0, u_0, z_0) \\ \Delta_{2m}(0, u_2, z_2) &= \max_{u_0 \in I_1, z_0 \in I_2} \Delta_{2m}(0, u_0, z_0) \end{aligned} \right\} \dots (4.28)$$

By using inequalities (4.23) to (4.24), the following are obtained

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \Delta_1(0, u_1, z_1) &= \Delta_{1m}(0, u_1, z_1) + \\ (\Delta_1(0, u_1, z_1) - \Delta_{1m}(0, u_1, z_1)) &< 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \dots (4.29)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \Delta_2(0, u_1, z_1) &= \Delta_{2m}(0, u_1, z_1) + \\ (\Delta_2(0, u_1, z_1) - \Delta_{2m}(0, u_1, z_1)) &< 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \dots (4.30)$$

and from the continuity of the functions $\Delta_1(0, u_0, z_0)$, $\Delta_2(0, u_0, z_0)$ and the inequalities (4.29) and (4.30), then the isolated singular points $u^0 \in [u_1, u_2]$ and $z^0 \in [z_1, z_2]$ exist such that $\Delta_1(0, u_0, z_0) = 0$ and $\Delta_2(0, u_0, z_0) = 0$. This means that (1.1) has a periodic solution $\begin{pmatrix} u(t, u_0, z_0) \\ z(t, u_0, z_0) \end{pmatrix}$.

Remark 4.5. Theorem 4.3 is provided when $R^n = R^1$, i.e. u_0 and z_0 are scalar singular points and should be isolated. For more details, see (Samoilenko and Ronto, 1976).

4.4 Stability of Periodic Solution of (1.1) and (1.2)

Theorem 4.6. Let the vector functions $\Delta_1(0, u_0, z_0)$ and $\Delta_2(0, u_0, z_0)$ be defined by the equations (4.18) and (4.19) where $u(t, u_0, z_0)$ is a limit of the sequence of the function (4.1), the function $z(t, u_0, z_0)$ is the limit of the sequence of the function (4.2), then the following inequalities yield:-

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \|\Delta_1(0, u_0, z_0)\| \\ \|\Delta_2(0, u_0, z_0)\| \end{array} \right) \leq \left(\begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} M_{\beta\alpha} M + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{T^\alpha} M_{\beta\alpha} M_1 S_1 + \\ \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{T^\alpha} (M_2 - \|u_0\|) \\ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} M_{\beta\gamma} L + \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}{T^\gamma} M_{\beta\gamma} L_1 S_2 + \\ \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}{T^\gamma} (M_3 - \|z_0\|) \end{array} \right) \dots (4.31)$$

and

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \|\Delta_1(0, u_0^1, z_0^1) - \Delta_1(0, u_0^2, z_0^2)\| \\ \|\Delta_2(0, u_0^1, z_0^1) - \Delta_2(0, u_0^2, z_0^2)\| \end{array} \right) \leq \left(\begin{array}{l} (E_1 + E_2 F_5 F_6) E_5 \quad (E_1 F_3 F_4 + E_2) E_6 \\ (E_3 + E_4 F_5 F_6) E_5 \quad (E_3 F_3 F_4 + E_4) E_6 \end{array} \right) \times \left(\begin{array}{l} \|u_0^1 - u_0^2\| \\ \|z_0^1 - z_0^2\| \end{array} \right) \dots (4.32)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} M_{\beta\alpha} (K_1 + K_2 K_s) + 2M_{\beta\alpha} S_1 K_3, \\ E_2 &= \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} M_{\beta\alpha} K_2 K_s + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{T^\alpha} \|B^{-1}\| T p_1 \right), \\ E_3 &= \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} M_{\beta\gamma} L_2 R_s + \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}{T^\gamma} \|D^{-1}\| T q_1 \right), \\ E_4 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} M_{\beta\gamma} (L_1 + L_2 R_s) + 2M_{\beta\gamma} S_2 L_3, \\ E_5 &= F_1 F_3 F_7, \quad E_6 = F_2 F_5 F_7, \quad F_1 = 1 + \|B^{-1}A + E\|, \\ F_2 &= 1 + \|D^{-1}C + E\|, \\ F_3 &= \left(1 - \frac{T^\alpha}{2^{2\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha+1)} M_{\beta\alpha} (K_1 + K_2 K_s) + 2M_{\beta\alpha} S_1 K_3 \right)^{-1}, \\ F_4 &= \frac{T^\alpha}{2^{2\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha+1)} M_{\beta\alpha} K_2 K_s + \|B^{-1}\| T p_1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_5 &= \left(1 - \frac{T^\gamma}{2^{2\gamma-1}\Gamma(\gamma+1)} M_{\beta\gamma} (L_1 + L_2 R_s) + 2M_{\beta\gamma} S_2 L_3 \right)^{-1}, \\ F_6 &= \frac{T^\gamma}{2^{2\gamma-1}\Gamma(\gamma+1)} M_{\beta\gamma} L_2 R_s + \|D^{-1}\| T q_1, \\ F_7 &= (1 - F_3 F_4 F_5 F_6)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From the properties of the functions $u(t, u_0, z_0)$ and $z(t, u_0, z_0)$ as in the Theorem 4.1, the functions $\Delta_1 = \Delta_1(0, u_0, z_0)$ and $\Delta_2 = \Delta_2(0, u_0, z_0)$, $u_0 \in D_1, z_0 \in D_2$ are continuous and bounded by M_γ, M, N_γ, N in the domain (4.3).

From (4.18) and (4.19), we obtained that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_1(0, u_0, z_0)\| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} M_{\beta\alpha} M + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{T^\alpha} M_{\beta\alpha} M_1 S_1 \\ &+ \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{T^\alpha} (M_1 - \|x_0\|) \end{aligned} \dots (4.33)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_2(0, u_0, z_0)\| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} M_{\beta\gamma} L + \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}{T^\gamma} M_{\beta\gamma} L_1 S_2 \\ &+ \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}{T^\gamma} (M_2 - \|z_0\|) \end{aligned} \dots (4.34)$$

by rewriting (4.33) and (4.34) by vector form, we obtain (4.31).

From inequality (4.18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_1(0, u_0^1, z_0^1) - \Delta_1(0, u_0^2, z_0^2)\| &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} M_{\beta\alpha} (K_1 + K_2 K_s) + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{T^\alpha} M_{\beta\alpha} K_3 S_1 \right) \\ &\|u(t, u_0^1, z_0^1) - z(t, u_0^2, z_0^2)\| \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} M_{\beta\alpha} K_2 K_s + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{T^\alpha} \|B^{-1}\| T p_1 \right) \\ &\|z(t, u_0^1, z_0^1) - z(t, u_0^2, z_0^2)\| \end{aligned} \dots (4.35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_2(0, u_0^1, z_0^1) - \Delta_2(0, u_0^2, z_0^2)\| &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} M_{\beta\gamma} L_2 R_s + \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}{T^\gamma} \|D^{-1}\| T q_1 \right) \\ &\|u(t, u_0^1, z_0^1) - u(t, u_0^2, z_0^2)\| \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} M_{\beta\gamma} (L_1 + L_2 R_s) + \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}{T^\gamma} M_{\beta\gamma} L_3 S_2 \right) \\ &\|z(t, u_0^1, z_0^1) - z(t, u_0^2, z_0^2)\| \end{aligned} \dots (4.36)$$

where the functions $u(t, u_0^1, z_0^1)$, (t, u_0^1, z_0^1) , $u(t, u_0^2, z_0^2)$ and $z(t, u_0^2, z_0^2)$ are solutions of the equation:-

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, u_0^k, z_0^k) &= x_0^k + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, \beta(\tau, \alpha), \\ &u(s, u_0^k, z_0^k), \int_0^{a(s)} K(s, \xi) (u(\xi, u_0^k, z_0^k) - z(\xi, u_0^k, z_0^k)) d\xi) ds \\ &- \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\frac{t}{T} \right)^\alpha \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha-1} \\ &f(s, \beta(\tau, \alpha), u(s, u_0^k, z_0^k), \int_0^{a(s)} K(s, \xi) (u(\xi, u_0^k, z_0^k) \\ &- z(\xi, u_0^k, z_0^k)) d\xi) ds \\ &- \left(\frac{t}{T} \right)^\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{S_1} I_i (\beta(\tau, \alpha), u(s, x_0^k, y_0^k)) \\ &+ \left(\frac{t}{T} \right)^\alpha \left[B^{-1} \int_0^T h_1(z(s, u_0^k, z_0^k)) ds - (B^{-1}A + E)u_0^k \right] \\ &+ \sum_{0 < t_i < t} I_i (\beta(\tau, \alpha), u(s, u_0^k, z_0^k)) \end{aligned} \dots (4.37)$$

and

$$z(t, u_0^k, z_0^k) = z_0^k + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\gamma-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &g(s, \beta(\tau, \gamma), z(s, u_0^k, z_0^k), \int_0^{b(s)} R(s, \xi) (u(\xi, u_0^k, z_0^k) \\
 &\quad - z(\xi, u_0^k, z_0^k)) d\xi ds \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\gamma \int_0^T (T-s)^{\gamma-1} \\
 &g(s, \beta(\tau, \gamma), z(s, u_0^k, z_0^k), \int_0^{b(s)} R(s, \xi) (u(\xi, u_0^k, z_0^k) \\
 &\quad - z(\xi, u_0^k, z_0^k)) d\xi ds \\
 &- \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\gamma \sum_{t=1}^{S_2} J_i(\beta(\tau, \gamma), z(s, u_0^k, z_0^k)) \\
 &+ \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\gamma \left[D^{-1} \int_0^T h_2(u(s, u_0^k, z_0^k)) ds - (D^{-1}C + E)z_0^k \right] \\
 &+ \sum_{0 < t_i < t} J_i(\beta(\tau, \gamma), z(s, u_0^k, z_0^k)) \quad \dots (4.38)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $k = 1, 2$, From (4.37) and (4.38), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|u(t, u_0^1, z_0^1) - u(t, u_0^2, z_0^2)\| \leq F_1 \|u_0^1 - u_0^2\| + \\
 &\left(\frac{T^\alpha}{2^{2\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha+1)} M_{\beta\alpha}(K_1 + K_2 K_s) + 2M_{\beta\alpha} S_1 K_3\right) \| \\
 &u(t, u_0^1, z_0^1) - u(t, u_0^2, z_0^2)\| \\
 &+ \left(\frac{T^\alpha}{2^{2\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha+1)} M_{\beta\alpha} M_{\beta\alpha} K_2 K_s + \|B^{-1}\| T p_1\right) \\
 &\|z(t, u_0^1, z_0^1) - z(t, u_0^2, z_0^2)\| \quad \dots (4.39)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|z(t, u_0^1, z_0^1) - z(t, u_0^2, z_0^2)\| \leq F_2 \|z_0^1 - z_0^2\| + \\
 &\left(\frac{T^\gamma}{2^{2\gamma-1}\Gamma(\gamma+1)} M_{\beta\gamma} L_2 R_s + \|D^{-1}\| T q_1\right) \|u(t, u_0^1, z_0^1) - \\
 &u(t, u_0^2, z_0^2)\| \\
 &+ \left(\frac{T^\gamma}{2^{2\gamma-1}\Gamma(\gamma+1)} M_{\beta\gamma}(L_1 + L_2 R_s) + 2M_{\beta\gamma} S_2 L_3\right) \\
 &\|z(t, u_0^1, z_0^1) - z(t, u_0^2, z_0^2)\| \quad \dots (4.40)
 \end{aligned}$$

From equations (4.39) and (4.40)

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|u(t, u_0^1, z_0^1) - u(t, u_0^2, z_0^2)\| \leq F_1 F_3 \|u_0^1 - u_0^2\| + F_3 F_4 \| \\
 &z(t, u_0^1, z_0^1) - z(t, u_0^2, z_0^2)\| \quad \dots (4.41)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|z(t, u_0^1, z_0^1) - z(t, u_0^2, z_0^2)\| \leq F_2 F_5 \|z_0^1 - z_0^2\| + F_5 F_6 \| \\
 &u(t, u_0^1, z_0^1) - u(t, u_0^2, z_0^2)\| \quad \dots (4.42)
 \end{aligned}$$

Substitutes (4.41) in (4.42) and (4.42) in (4.41), we obtained that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|u(t, u_0^1, z_0^1) - u(t, u_0^2, z_0^2)\| \leq F_1 F_3 F_7 \|u_0^1 - u_0^2\| + \\
 &F_2 F_3 F_4 F_5 F_7 \|z_0^1 - z_0^2\| \quad \dots (4.43)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|z(t, u_0^1, z_0^1) - z(t, u_0^2, z_0^2)\| \leq F_1 F_3 F_5 F_6 F_7 \|u_0^1 - u_0^2\| + \\
 &F_2 F_5 F_7 \|z_0^1 - z_0^2\| \quad \dots (4.44)
 \end{aligned}$$

Also, Substitutes (4.43) and (4.44) in (4.35) and (4.36), we get (4.32).

Remark 4.7. (Mitropolsky and Martynyuk, 1979). Theorem 4.6 confirms the stability of the solution of (1.1) and (1.2), that is when a few change happens in the points u_0, z_0 , then a few change will happen in the functions $\Delta_1(0, u_0, z_0)$ and $\Delta_2(0, u_0, z_0)$.

5. EXAMPLE

Consider the following system of fractional intrgro-differential equation.

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 &{}^c D_{0+}^{0.3} u(t) = \frac{1}{100\beta(\tau, \alpha)} \\
 &\left(e^t + \sin(u(t)) + \int_0^t (t+s)^{\frac{1}{2}} (u(s) - z(s)) ds \right) \\
 &t \in [0, 2], t \neq 1 \\
 &{}^c D_{0+}^{0.6} z(t) = \frac{1}{2\beta(\tau, \gamma)} \\
 &\left(\frac{2}{15} + \frac{|z(t)|}{9e^t(1+|z(t)|)} + \frac{1}{50} \int_0^{t^2} (t+s)^{\frac{1}{3}} (u(s) - z(s)) ds \right) \\
 &t \in [0, 2], t \neq 1 \\
 &\Delta u|_{t=1} = \frac{u(t)}{\beta(\tau, \alpha)(4+u(t))} \\
 &\Delta z|_{t=1} = \frac{z(t)}{\beta(\tau, \gamma)(5+z(t))}
 \end{aligned} \right\} \dots (5.1)$$

with non-separated integral coupled boundary condition

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 &20u(0) + u(2) = \int_0^2 \frac{1}{5(1+|z(s)|)} ds, \\
 &30z(0) + z(2) = \int_0^2 \frac{1}{(10+|u(s)|)} ds,
 \end{aligned} \right\} \dots (5.2)$$

where

$$\beta(\tau, \alpha) = \int_0^\infty \frac{s^{\tau-1}}{(1+s)^{\tau+0.3}} ds, \quad \beta(\tau, \gamma) = \int_0^\infty \frac{s^{\tau-1}}{(1+s)^{\tau+0.6}} ds$$

means that $M_{\beta\alpha} = \max_{\tau \in (0, 2]} \frac{1}{\beta(\tau, \alpha)} = 0.39$, $M_{\beta\gamma} =$

$$\max_{\tau \in (0, 2]} \frac{1}{\beta(\tau, \gamma)} = 0.96$$

Here $T = 2, \alpha = 0.3, \gamma = 0.6, A = 20, B = 1, C = 30, D = 1, a(t) = t, b(t) = t^2,$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &K(t, s) = (t+s)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad R(t, s) = (t+s)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \\
 &h_1(z(t)) = \frac{1}{5(1+|z(t)|)}, \quad h_2(u(t)) = \frac{1}{(10+|u(s)|)}
 \end{aligned}$$

we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &K_s = 5.3333, \quad R_s = 12, \quad K_1 = K_2 = 0.01, \\
 &K_3 = 0.0625, \quad L_1 = 0.0556, \quad L_2 = 0.01, \quad L_3 = 0.04, \quad p_0 = \\
 &p_1 = 0.2, \quad q_0 = 0.1, \quad q_1 = 0.01, \quad S_1 = S_2 = 1
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 0.0935 & 0.4376 \\ 0.3701 & 0.3257 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{hence } \lambda_{\max}(\Lambda) = 0.6284 < 1$$

Therefore, by Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2, the coupled boundary value problem (5.1) and (5.2) has exactly one periodic solution.

6. CONCLUSION

In this article, we studied the existence, uniqueness and approximated of periodic solutions of nonlinear impulsive fractional integro-differential system (1.1) with non-separated integral coupled boundary conditions (1.2). Also, we create a sequence of functions that it proved to be uniformly convergent and satisfied the fractional integro-differential equations and periodic conditions that is the main idea of the Numerical-analytic technique. Finally, an example of fractional system is stated.

REFERENCES

- Agarwal R., Benchohra M. & Hamani S. (2010). A Survey on Existence Results for Boundary Value Problems of Nonlinear Fractional Differential Equations and Inclusions, *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae*.
- Ahmad B. & Nieto J. J. (2014). A class of differential equations of fractional order with multi-point boundary conditions. *Georgian Math. J.*, 21, 243–248.

- Bai, ZB & Zhang, S. (2016). Monotone iterative method for a class of fractional differential equations. *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* 2016, 06.
- Bai, ZB, Dong, XY & Yin, C. (2016). Existence results for impulsive nonlinear fractional differential equation with mixed boundary conditions. *Bound. Value Probl.* 2016, 63.
- Butris R. N. & Taher R. F. (2019). Periodic solution of integro-differential equations depended on special functions with singular kernels and boundary integral conditions. *International Journal of Advanced Trends in Computer Science and Engineering*. Vol. 8, No.4.
- Butris R. N., Rafeeq A. Sh. & Faris, H. S. (2017) Existence, uniqueness and stability of periodic solution for nonlinear system of integro-differential equations". science Journal of University of Zakho Vol. 5.No. 1 pp. 120-127.
- Dong, XY, Bai, ZB & Zhang, SQ. (2017) . Positive solutions to boundary value problems of p-Laplacian with fractional derivative. *Bound. Value Probl.* 2017, 5.
- Farkas M. (1994) Periodic motions ,*Springer-Verlag*, New York,
- Feckan M. & Marynets K. (2018). Approximation approach to periodic BVP for mixed fractional differential systems. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 339, 208–217.
- Feckan M. & Marynets K. (2017). Approximation approach to periodic BVP for fractional differential systems. *Eur. Phys. J.*, 226, 3681–3692.
- Feckan, M, Zhou, Y. & Wang, JR. (2012). On the concept and existence of solution for impulsive fractional differential equations. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* 17, 3050-3060.
- Henderson J., Luca R. & Tudorache, A. (2015). On a system of fractional equations with coupled integral boundary conditions. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, 18, 361–386.
- Kilbas A. A., Srivastava H. M & Trujillo J. J. (2006) Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations, *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*, vol. 204. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Lakshmikantham V., Leela S. & Devi V. (2009). Theory of Fractional Dynamic Systems. *Cambridge Academic Publishers, Cambridge*.
- Mahmudov N. I., Emin S. & Bawanah S. (2019). On the Parametrization of Caputo-Type Fractional Differential Equations with Two-Point Nonlinear Boundary Conditions, *Mathematics*, 7, 707; doi:10.3390/math7080707.
- Marynets, K. (2016). On construction of the approximate solution of the special type integral boundary-value problem. *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.*, 6, 1–14.
- Mitropolsky Yu. A. & Martynyuk D. I. (1979). For Periodic Solutions for the Oscillations Systems with Retarded Argument, *Kiev, Ukraine*.
- Ronto, M. & Samoilenko, A.M. (2000). Numerical-Analytic Methods in the Theory of Boundary-Value Problems. *World Scientific: Singapore*.
- Ronto, M., Marynets, K.V. & Varha, J.V. (2015). Further results on the investigation of solutions of integral boundary value problems. *Tatra Mt. Math. Publ.*, 63, 247–267.
- Sabatier J., Agrawal OP. & Machado, JAT (2007): Advances in Fractional Calculus: Theoretical Developments and Applications in Physics and Engineering. *Springer*, Dordrecht.
- Samoilenko A. M. & Ronto N. I. (1976). A Numerical – Analytic Methods for Investigations of Periodic Solutions, *Kiev, Ukraine*.
- Su X. (2009). Boundary value problem for a coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 22, 64-69.
- Wang J., Xiang H. & Liu Z. (2010). Positive solution to nonzero boundary values problem for a coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations. *Int. J. Differ. Equ.*, Article ID 186928.
- Wang, G, Ahmad, B, Zhang, L. & Nieto, JJ. (2014). Comments on the concept of existence of solution for impulsive fractional differential equations. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* 19, 401-403.
- Wang, JR, Li, X & Wei, W. (2012). On the natural solution of an impulsive fractional differential equation of order $q \in (1, 2)$. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* 17, 4384-4.
- Zhou, WX & Chu, YD. (2012). Existence of solutions for fractional differential equations with multi-point boundary conditions. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* 17, 1142-1148.